

Sri Lanka

Department of Census and Statistics

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008

Study Documentation

November 9, 2009

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) , Ministry of Finance and Planning , Training of Enumerators, technical guidance, drafting of questionnaires and statistical tables, data processing and allied activities
Production Date	November 6, 2009
Version	Version 1.0 (October 2009)
Identification	DDI-LKA-DCS-STSH-2008-v1.0

This document was generated using the [IHSN Microdata Management Toolkit](#)

Table of Contents

Overview.....	1
Scope & Coverage.....	2
Producers & Sponsors.....	3
Sampling.....	3
Data Collection.....	3
Accessibility.....	5
Rights & Disclaimer.....	6
Files Description.....	7
T1.....	7
T2.....	7
T3.....	7
Variables List.....	8
T1.....	8
T2.....	15
T3.....	23
Variables Description.....	27
T1.....	27
T2.....	49
T3.....	84
Documentation.....	97

Sri Lanka (2007)

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 (STSH 2008)

Overview	
Type	Agricultural Survey [ag/oth]
Identification	LKA-DCS-STSH-2008-v1.0
Version	Production Date: 2009-10-06 V1.0: Full edited dataset, for internal DPD Use
Series	<p>Tea Small Holding Development Authority (TSHDA) of the Ministry of Plantation Industries has made a request to the Agriculture and Environment Division of the Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) to carry out a sample survey on Tea Small Holdings with a view to review the situation in the Tea Small Holding sector on number of aspects such as status of production, cultural practices, effectiveness of current extension programs, labor shortages, etc., enable them to implement appropriate policies to enhance the production capacities. In the year 2005 a Census covering whole sector was undertaken by the DCS in collaboration with the TSHDA in which a data base comprising an index of Tea Small Holdings was established in addition to the overall analysis made in-respect of this sector by collecting number of important variables.</p> <p>This was a sample survey done in the year of 2007 which was a small scale survey done in a very short time.</p>

Abstract

Tea Small Holding Development Authority (TSHDA) of the Ministry of Plantation Industries has made a request to the Agriculture and Environment Division of the Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) to carry out a sample survey on Tea Small Holdings with a view to review the situation in the Tea Small Holding sector on number of aspects such as status of production, cultural practices, effectiveness of current extension programs, labor shortages, etc., enable them to implement appropriate policies to enhance the production capacities. In the year 2005 a Census covering whole sector was undertaken by the DCS in collaboration with the TSHDA in which a data base comprising an index of Tea Small Holdings was established in addition to the overall analysis made in-respect of this sector by collecting number of important variables.

The joint responsibilities in conducting the Census were shared by two organizations as follows.

·TSHDA- All logistic, drafting of questionnaires, Financial support

·DCS -Training of Enumerators, technical guidance, drafting of questionnaires and statistical tables, data processing and allied activities

It is to be noted, this was a small scale survey done in a very short time. The coverage was limited to 2.81 percent of the total number of Tea Small Holdings and about 2.07 percent of total extent under tea reported at the Census of Tea Small Holdings 2005. Total number of holdings covered by this survey was 11,042 which are confined to 9 tea growing district in the country. For the purpose of implementation of extension programs, the indicators interpreted in terms of percentage terms in the set of tables given in the annex could be valuable set of data with regards different aspects in order to study the existing situation in the industry and thereby take correct decision in the wellbeing of the families associated in the tea cultivation. It has been found that many indicators which were computed by the previous Census of Tea Small Holdings and this survey are comparable and consistent and also with known factors. For instance the average yields computed here would be justifiable in this regard.

Another indicator computed through this survey on Average Family is size 4.04 and it is very consistent with many recently undertaken surveys by the DCS. Out of the total number of tea small holding Operators in the sample of 11,042, about 65 percent derive their income as main source from agricultural activities and out of the total 52 percent had stated the main income source is Tea cultivation.

As expected, it has been observed that the quality of the information provided by enumerators in-respect of the questions on land utilization (Q.2), Input applications (Q.3) which is meant to describe cost of production and labor utilization (Q.9) were not up to the standards due to number of reasons such as; answers not consistent, not within the range, not logical with the practices, ambiguous and not relevant. This is mainly due the complexity of questions and needed highly experienced and well trained enumerators. Therefore, no any table was provided pertaining to those questions in this report.

Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	<p>· Tea Small Holding</p> <p>The same definition used in Tea Control act No. 51 of 1957 was adopted in this Survey as in the other Tea Censuses. According to this definition the "Small Holding" was defined as "the land cultivated with tea and managed on private basis irrespective of size of land". By this definition all estates or holdings owned and managed by the state are excluded</p>

Scope & Coverage

Scope

The scope of this survey covered the following:

1. Identification Information
2. Household information of the Tea Small Holding owner
3. Location of the tea holding, nature of cultivation, kind of tea, harvest, upkeep
4. Application of fertilizer and chemicals
5. Utilization of implements
6. Tea pruning
7. Utilization of labour
8. Subject related to cultivation activities such as concessions, labour shortages etc
9. Promotional services received
10. Operational expertise, loans obtained, communication and audio-visual facilities available

Keywords	Tea Small Holding, Mature Tea, Immature Tea, Abandoned Tea, VP Tea, Seedling Tea
Topics	agricultural, forestry and rural industry [2.1]

Geographic Coverage

National Coverage

Universe

Tea Small Holdings : were considered as secondary sampling units (ssu) and in order to select holdings for enumeration in the selected GN Division (GND), following criteria were used.

(a). If the total number of TSH are less than or equal to 100 in the GND, then whole set to be included in the sample for enumeration.

(b). If the total number of TSH are greater than 100 in the GND, then enumeration was restricted up to the maximum of 100 according to the list in order.

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Department of Census and Statistics, Ministry of Finance and Planning
Other Producer(s)	Tea Small Holdings Development Authority (TSHA) , Ministry of Plantation Industries , All logistic, drafting of questionnaires, Financial support
Funding Agency/ies	Tea Small Holdings Development Authority (TSHA) , Source of funds

Sampling																				
<u>Sampling Procedure</u> Two stage sampling design was adopted in this survey. In the first stage to represent each and every Tea Inspectors Range (TI) one Grama Niladari Division (GND) division each was selected randomly where there were altogether 126 such GN divisions as primary sampling units (psu). However, it is to note that for the selection of psu, qualifying clause was that the particular GN should have at least 50 Tea Small Holdings (TSH) at the time of Census 2005. In the second stage “Tea Small Holdings” were considered as secondary sampling units (ssu) and in order to select holdings for enumeration in the selected GN division, following criteria were used. (a). If the total number of TSH are less than or equal to 100 in the GND, then whole set to be included in the sample for enumeration. (b). If the total number of TSH are greater than 100 in the GND, then enumeration was restricted up to the maximum of 100 according to the list in order.																				
<u>Response Rate</u> The distribution of the sample and response is given in the following table. <table><tr><td>1. Kalutara</td><td>97.7</td></tr><tr><td>2. Kandy</td><td>79.9</td></tr><tr><td>3. Matale</td><td>86.41</td></tr><tr><td>4. Nuwara Eliya</td><td>95.82</td></tr><tr><td>5. Galle</td><td>95.56</td></tr><tr><td>6. Matara</td><td>97.26</td></tr><tr><td>7. Badulla</td><td>98.03</td></tr><tr><td>8. Ratnapura</td><td>96.6</td></tr><tr><td>9. Kegalle</td><td>94.05</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>95.15</td></tr></table>	1. Kalutara	97.7	2. Kandy	79.9	3. Matale	86.41	4. Nuwara Eliya	95.82	5. Galle	95.56	6. Matara	97.26	7. Badulla	98.03	8. Ratnapura	96.6	9. Kegalle	94.05	Total	95.15
1. Kalutara	97.7																			
2. Kandy	79.9																			
3. Matale	86.41																			
4. Nuwara Eliya	95.82																			
5. Galle	95.56																			
6. Matara	97.26																			
7. Badulla	98.03																			
8. Ratnapura	96.6																			
9. Kegalle	94.05																			
Total	95.15																			

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2007 end 2007
Data Collection Mode	Face-to-face [f2f]
<u>Data Collection Notes</u> It was not possible to cover three GN divisions out of 131 psu due to the lack of co-operation from selected Enumerators, and they are located two in Ratnapura District and one in Kalutara District respectively.. The reasons for the lower response rate in the Districts of Matale and Kandy is attributed to erroneous report with regards to the number TSH drawn from the database of the Census 2005 as result of a program error in which the list of sample drawn found to be duplicated. In addition to that non-existence of tea crop at the time of data	

collection in the holdings, inability to meet relevant operators within the duration of fortnight allocated for enumeration were among the other reasons attributed in many districts for non-responses.

It was decided to carry out this survey confining to major tea growing districts, since the cultivated extent of tea and number of holdings in the administrative districts of Hambantota, Moneragala, Gampaha, Colombo and Kurunegala considered to be insignificant. As such out of the total number of 147 TI Ranges in the Island psu were limited to only 131 divisions.

Questionnaires

Basically, one questionnaire which consists of five pages and formatted for data capture by mean of OCR (Scanning of Images) technology has been used for data collection in the field. The specific sample of Tea Small Holdings which was drawn from the data base established in the Census of Tea Small Holding 2005 was provided to the Enumerators enable them to fill up the required information in the main questionnaire of the survey. The sample of Tea Small Holdings in each GN/village was confined to a particular locality since it was made according to lie of the land during the Census 2005. While making the inventory of holdings (land) in the sample, the enumerators had been requested to visit and meet relevant operators and fill up the main questionnaire. This strategy was made in order to cut down the enumeration time.

Supervision

A team of Enumerators were selected with the help of Statistical Officers attached to the respective Divisional Secretariat in consultation with Grama Niladari (GN) or Agriculture Research and Production Assistants (ARPA) in their jurisdictions. Those who have passed GCE (AL)/GCE (OL) and who are members of Tea growing households were qualified to be when selecting of Enumerators. A team comprising senior officials of the Agriculture Division of DCS and TSHDA visited each district in order to impart the training for selected Enumerators. Statistical Officers under the direction of Deputy Director/Senior Statisticians/Statisticians in the Statistics Branches attached to respective District Secretariat have been entrusted the responsibilities of supervision and guidance for data collection. Following remarks pertaining to experiences could be important guide lines for the future undertaking of this type of enumeration in this field.

- The Enumerators identified were given a half day brief training but the time devoted for the training was not sufficient due to the complexity and the lengthy questionnaire used in this exercise.
- Enumerators were selected from their respective GN divisions in the sample with in each Divisional Secretariat and brought them for their training to a Central Place either District Secretariat in the District Capital or Regional Offices of TSHDA. As such they had to travel a long distance, as a result the Training Classes commenced very lately in the day near noon. Therefore, devoting sufficient time for through training was not practical.
- The whole team of Enumerators identified at the initial stage was not participated in training program due to the time lag between the selection of Enumerators and the training dates. This was due to delays in preliminary activities like printing of forms and some logistic. As a result, some of Enumerators were trained by DCS and TSHDA Officials from Colombo on the first day and the Enumerators who did not attend the training class on that date were expelled out and had to select an alternative team. Training for this group was imparted by Officials of the Region in a next day. Therefore, precautions are to be taken for the uniformity of training.
- Time allowed for field work was very short and done in a rush in order to utilize financial provisions during the year 2007. We found that few Enumerators had quit the job half the way due to one more reasons. There was no sufficient time to coach them by Supervisors.

Considering these reasons, we had to deploy office staff to check, verify, re-arrange and correct erroneous entries in the filled questionnaires. Therefore, workload on editing was heavy than it was anticipated at the beginning

Other Processing

The data processing activity was allied with three aspects as follows.

- Manual editing, coding and Verifications
- Scanning of questionnaires (OCR)
- Data tabulation

The first and third tasks were handled by the staff of the Agriculture and Environment Statistics Division of DCS while the scanning was made by the company known as "Sanje Lanka (Pvt) Ltd." as on outsource basis.

Accessibility

Access Authority	Director General (Department of Census and Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk , dgcensus@slt.net.lk
Contact(s)	Director General (Department of Census and Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk/ , dgcensus@slt.net.lk Agriculture and Environment Statistics Division (Department of Census and Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk/agriculture/index.htm , agriculture@statistics.gov.lk

Confidentiality

Under the Statistical ordinance, micro data cannot be released with identifications for public use. Procedures are in place to ensure that information relating to any particular individual person, household or undertaking will be kept strictly confidential and will not be divulged to external parties. Information on individual or individual Household/establishment will not be divulged or published in such a form that will facilitate the identification of any particular person or establishment as the data have been collected under the Census/Statistical ordinance, according to which the information at individual level cannot be divulged and such information is strictly confidential.

Access Conditions

The dataset has been anonymized and is available as a Public Use Dataset. It is accessible to all for statistical and research purposes only, under the following terms and conditions:

1. The data and other materials will not be redistributed or sold to other individuals, institutions, or organizations without the written agreement.
2. The data will be used for statistical and scientific research purposes only. They will be used solely for reporting of aggregated information, and not for investigation of specific individuals or organizations.
3. No attempt will be made to re-identify respondents, and no use will be made of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently.
4. No attempt will be made to produce links among datasets provided by the Department or among data from the Department and other datasets that could identify individuals or organizations.
5. Any books, articles, conference papers, theses, dissertations, reports, or other publications that employ data obtained from the Department will cite the source of data in accordance with the Citation Requirement provided with each dataset.
6. An electronic copy of all reports and publications based on the requested data will be sent to the Department

The following rules apply to micro data released by the Department of Census and Statistics.

- Only the requests of Government Institutions, Recognized Universities, Students, and selected international agencies are entertained. However, the Data users are required to strictly adhere to the terms stipulated in the agreement form.
- All the data requests should be made to Director General (DG) of the DCS as the sole authority of releasing data is vested with the DG of the DCS. The DCS of Sri Lanka reserves sole right to approve or reject any data request made depending on the confidential nature of the data set and intended purpose of the study or analysis.
- Requests for micro data should be made through the agreement form designed by DCS for this purpose (Form D.R.1). The agreement form should be filled in triplicate and the Study/project proposal should accompany the filled agreement form. If requests are made for the micro data of more than one survey, a separate agreement should be signed.
- If the data request is from a student a letter from the respective Dept. Head/Dean/Supervisor, recommending the issue of data, should also be accompanied.
- If the request is approved only 25% of the data file is released at the first stage. The release of the total data file is considered only after reviewing the draft report prepared on the basis of the 25% sample data file.
- The released Data file should be used only for the specific study/Analysis mentioned in the agreement form and shall not be used for any other purpose without the prior approval of the Director General of the DCS. Moreover, Copies of the micro-data file, obtained from the DCS, shall not be given to anyone else without the prior written approval of the Director General of the DCS.
- The draft report of the Study/Analysis should be submitted to the DCS and the concurrence of the DG of the DCS, should be obtained before publishing it. Once published, a copy of the final report should be submitted to the DCS.

[Department : The Department of Census and Statistics (DCS)]

Source : [http://www.statistics.gov.lk/databases/data dissemination/DataDissaPolicy_2007Oct26.pdf](http://www.statistics.gov.lk/databases/data%20dissemination/DataDissaPolicy_2007Oct26.pdf)

Citation Requirements

Department of Census and Statistics, Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings 2008 [STSH 2008], Version 1.0 of the internal use dataset October 2009, provided by the National Data Archive, Data Processing Division, www.statistics.gov.lk

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The Department of Census and Statistics bears no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the secondary use of the data.

Copyright

(c) 2009, Department of Census and Statistics

Files Description

Dataset contains 3 file(s)

T1	
# Cases	11042
# Variable(s)	142
<u>File Content</u> T1- File: This file contains data pertaining to Sections 1 to 5 of the questionnaire.All District files followed the same file format and the field lables and field variables. The original files were in Excel which were converted to tab delimited text format for uploading to the metadata toolkit.	

T2	
# Cases	11042
# Variable(s)	195
<u>File Content</u> T2- File: This file contains data pertaining to Sections 6 to 10.4 of the questionnaire.All District files followed the same file format and the field lables and field variables. The original files were in Excel which were converted to tab delimited text format for uploading to the metadata toolkit.	

T3	
# Cases	11042
# Variable(s)	69
<u>File Content</u> T3 File: This file contains data pertaining to Sections 10.5 to 13 of the questionnaire.All District files followed the same file format and the field lables and field variables. The original files were in Excel which were converted to tab delimited text format for uploading to the metadata toolkit.	

Variables List

Dataset contains 406 variable(s)

File T1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	T1	Part of Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
2	SERIALNO	Serial Number	continuous	numeric-6.0	11042	0	-
3	Q1_1	District	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
4	Q1_2	DS Division	continuous	numeric-3.0	11042	0	-
5	Q1_3	Village	discrete	character-2	2267	0	-
6	Q1_4	GN Division	continuous	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
7	Q1_5	Parcel Serial Number	continuous	numeric-3.0	10946	96	-
8	Q2_1	Principal Owner's Name with Initials	discrete	character-42	10960	-	-
9	Q2_2	Operator's Name with initials (if the owner is not the operator)	discrete	character-29	1265	-	-
10	Q3_1_4	Name of Tea Holding	discrete	character-29	10790	-	-
11	Q3_1_1	Extent of tea Holding - acres	continuous	numeric-3.0	3520	7522	-
12	Q3_1_2	Extent of tea Holding - rood	continuous	numeric-1.0	7178	3864	-
13	Q3_1_3	Extent of tea Holding - perches	continuous	numeric-2.0	2387	8655	-
14	Q4_A_1	Male LT 15 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	3600	7442	Member details of your family including you and your household Male less than 15
15	Q4_A_2	Female LT 15 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	7702	Member details of your family including you and your household Female less than 15
16	Q4_B_1	Male between 15 - 49 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	8498	2544	Member details of your family including you and your household Male between 15 - 49
17	Q4_B_2	Female between 15 - 49 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	8379	2663	Member details of your family including you and your household Female between 15 - 49
18	Q4_C_1	Male between 50 - 64 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	4049	6993	Member details of your family including you and your household Male between 50 - 64
19	Q4_C_2	Female between 50 - 64 (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	4133	6909	Member details of your family including you and your household Female between 50 - 64
20	Q4_D_1	Male 65 and above (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1295	9747	Member details of your family including you and your household Male 65 and above
21	Q4_D_2	Female 65 and above (number)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1545	9497	Member details of your family including you and your household Female 65 and above

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Male 65 and above
22	Q4_E_1	Total number of male members	continuous	numeric-2.0	9859	1183	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of male members
23	Q4_E_2	Total number of female members	continuous	numeric-2.0	9967	1075	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of female members
24	Q4_F	Total number of male & female	continuous	numeric-2.0	10374	668	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of male & female
25	Q4_0	Number of children LT 5yrs (non-schooling)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1009	10033	Member details of your family including you and your household Number of children less than 5yrs (non-schooling)
26	Q4_1	Number GT 5yrs (never to school)	continuous	numeric-1.0	2020	9022	Member details of your family including you and your household Number greater than 5yrs (never went to school)
27	Q4_2	Number passed Grade 5 or lower	continuous	numeric-1.0	5639	5403	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed Grade 5 or lower
28	Q4_3	Number passed a Grade between 6 to 10	continuous	numeric-1.0	7607	3435	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a Grade between 6 to 10
29	Q4_4	Number passed a GCE O/L or an equivalent	continuous	numeric-1.0	6159	4883	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a GCE O/L or an equivalent
30	Q4_5	Number passed a GCE A/L or an equivalent	continuous	numeric-1.0	3543	7499	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a GCE A/L or an equivalent
31	Q4_6	Number passed Degree or higher	continuous	numeric-1.0	596	10446	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed Degree or higher
32	Q4_7	Total number	continuous	numeric-2.0	10320	722	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of household members
33	Q41_1_1	Main means of income	continuous	numeric-1.0	10526	516	Main means of income of the family
34	Q51_1_1A	Extent of Mature VP (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	2291	8751	Information pertaining to the tea holding (or each tea holding) belonging to the owner : Please provide information of the entire tea holding according to a suitable classification only if it is inconvenient to present the information line by line about Location of the entire tea holding, nature of cultivation, variety of tea, harvest, maintenance etc. due to apportionment difficulties.

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
35	Q51_1_1B	Extent of Mature VP (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	6421	4621	-
36	Q51_1_1C	Extent of Mature VP (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	2433	8609	-
37	Q51_1_2	Variety Code of Mature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9000	2042	-
38	Q51_1_3	Year of planting of Mature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	9646	1396	-
39	Q51_1_4	Year of last pruning done Mature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	9114	1928	-
40	Q51_1_5	Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1795	9247	-
41	Q51_1_6	Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	1790	9252	-
42	Q51_1_7	Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	7525	3517	-
43	Q51_1_8	Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	7423	3619	-
44	Q51_1_9	Annual Harvest Kg Mature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-5.0	9591	1451	-
45	Q51_1_10	Other crop Mature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3053	7989	-
46	Q51_2_1A	Extent of Mature Seedling (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	200	10842	-
47	Q51_2_1B	Extent of Mature Seedling (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	712	10330	-
48	Q51_2_1C	Extent of Mature Seedling (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	281	10761	-
49	Q51_2_2	Variety Code of Mature Seedling (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	258	10784	-
50	Q51_2_3	Year of planting of Mature Seedling (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	796	10246	-
51	Q51_2_4	Year of last pruning done Mature Seedling (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	930	10112	-
52	Q51_2_5	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	218	10824	-
53	Q51_2_6	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	213	10829	-
54	Q51_2_7	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	612	10430	-
55	Q51_2_8	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	606	10436	-
56	Q51_2_9	Annual Harvest Kg Mature	continuous	numeric-5.0	946	10096	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Seedling (P1)					
57	Q51_2_10	Other crop Mature Seedling (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	293	10749	-
58	Q51_3_1A	Extent of Immature VP (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	86	10956	-
59	Q51_3_1B	Extent of Immature VP (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	491	10551	-
60	Q51_3_1C	Extent of Immature VP (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	236	10806	-
61	Q51_3_2	Variety Code of Immature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	525	10517	-
62	Q51_3_3	Year of planting of Immature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	679	10363	-
63	Q51_3_4	Year of last pruning done Immature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-4.0	101	10941	-
64	Q51_3_5	Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	61	10981	-
65	Q51_3_6	Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	62	10980	-
66	Q51_3_7	Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	334	10708	-
67	Q51_3_8	Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	350	10692	-
68	Q51_3_9	Annual Harvest Kg Immature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-5.0	217	10825	-
69	Q51_3_10	Other crop Immature VP (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	87	10955	-
70	Q51_4_1A	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	53	10989	-
71	Q51_4_1B	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	253	10789	-
72	Q51_4_1C	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	95	10947	-
73	Q51_5_1A	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	42	11000	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of replanting tea (currently grass not grown)
74	Q51_5_1B	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	152	10890	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of replanting tea (currently grass not grown)
75	Q51_5_1C	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	87	10955	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							replanting tea (currently grass not grown)
76	Q51_6_1A	Extent of nurseries (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	3	11039	-
77	Q51_6_1B	Extent of nurseries (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	30	11012	-
78	Q51_6_1C	Extent of nurseries (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	47	10995	-
79	Q51_7_1A	Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	150	10892	-
80	Q51_7_1B	Extent of abandoned land (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	632	10410	-
81	Q51_7_1C	Extent of abandoned land (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	325	10717	-
82	Q51_8_1A	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Acres) (P1)	continuous	numeric-3.0	2129	8913	-
83	Q51_8_1B	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Rood) (P1)	continuous	numeric-1.0	5256	5786	-
84	Q51_8_1C	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Perches) (P1)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1907	9135	-
85	Q51_9_9	Total annual harvest kg. (P1)	continuous	numeric-6.0	8540	2502	-
86	Q52_1_1A	Extent of Mature VP (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	42	11000	-
87	Q52_1_1B	Extent of Mature VP (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	107	10935	-
88	Q52_1_1C	Extent of Mature VP (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	16	11026	-
89	Q52_1_2	Variety Code of Mature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	122	10920	-
90	Q52_1_3	Year of planting of Mature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	140	10902	-
91	Q52_1_4	Year of last pruning done Mature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	112	10930	-
92	Q52_1_5	Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10	11032	-
93	Q52_1_6	Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	10	11032	-
94	Q52_1_7	Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	88	10954	-
95	Q52_1_8	Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	89	10953	-
96	Q52_1_9	Annual Harvest Kg Mature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-5.0	139	10903	-
97	Q52_1_10	Other crop Mature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	29	11013	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
98	Q52_2_1A	Extent of Mature Seedling (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	2	11040	-
99	Q52_2_1B	Extent of Mature Seedling (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	6	11036	-
100	Q52_2_1C	Extent of Mature Seedling (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1	11041	-
101	Q52_2_2	Variety Code of Mature Seedling (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	2	11040	-
102	Q52_2_3	Year of planting of Mature Seedling (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	8	11034	-
103	Q52_2_4	Year of last pruning done Mature Seedling (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	5	11037	-
104	Q52_2_5	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	2	11040	-
105	Q52_2_6	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (number of trees) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	2	11040	-
106	Q52_2_7	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	2	11040	-
107	Q52_2_8	Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	3	11039	-
108	Q52_2_9	Annual Harvest Kg Mature Seedling (P2)	continuous	numeric-5.0	7	11035	-
109	Q52_2_10	Other crop Mature Seedling (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
110	Q52_3_1A	Extent of Immature VP (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	2	11040	-
111	Q52_3_1B	Extent of Immature VP (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	22	11020	-
112	Q52_3_1C	Extent of Immature VP (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	9	11033	-
113	Q52_3_2	Variety Code of Immature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	16	11026	-
114	Q52_3_3	Year of planting of Immature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	23	11019	-
115	Q52_3_4	Year of last pruning done Immature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-4.0	2	11040	-
116	Q52_3_5	Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
117	Q52_3_6	Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	0	11042	-
118	Q52_3_7	Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9	11033	-
119	Q52_3_8	Shady trees of Immature	continuous	numeric-3.0	10	11032	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)					
120	Q52_3_9	Annual Harvest Kg Immature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-5.0	15	11027	-
121	Q52_3_10	Other crop Immature VP (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
122	Q52_4_1A	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	1	11041	-
123	Q52_4_1B	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9	11033	-
124	Q52_4_1C	Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	2	11040	-
125	Q52_5_1A	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	1	11041	-
126	Q52_5_1B	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
127	Q52_5_1C	Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	3	11039	-
128	Q52_6_1A	Extent of nurseries (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	1	11041	-
129	Q52_6_1B	Extent of nurseries (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	1	11041	-
130	Q52_6_1C	Extent of nurseries (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	0	11042	-
131	Q52_7_1A	Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	0	11042	-
132	Q52_7_1B	Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	12	11030	-
133	Q52_7_1C	Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	0	11042	-
134	Q52_8_1A	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Acres) (P2)	continuous	numeric-3.0	41	11001	-
135	Q52_8_1B	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Rood) (P2)	continuous	numeric-1.0	110	10932	-
136	Q52_8_1C	Total extenrt of tea plantation (Perches) (P2)	continuous	numeric-2.0	26	11016	-
137	Q52_9_9	Total annual harvest kg. (P2)	continuous	numeric-6.0	619	10423	-
138	Q52_10_1A	Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Acres)	continuous	numeric-4.0	2101	8941	-
139	Q52_10_1B	Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Rood)	continuous	numeric-1.0	4771	6271	-
140	Q52_10_1C	Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Perches)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1791	9251	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T1 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
141	Q52_10_9	Grand total Annual harvest P1+P2 Kg	continuous	numeric-6.0	3652	7390	-
142	IMAGEADDRESS	IMAGE ADDRESS	discrete	character-12	11042	0	-

File T2							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	B1	B1	continuous	numeric-4.0	0	11042	-
2	T2	Part of Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
3	SERIAL_NO	Serial No	continuous	numeric-6.0	11042	0	-
4	Q1_1	District	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
5	Q1_2	DS Division	continuous	numeric-3.0	11042	0	-
6	Q1_3	Village	discrete	character-2	2267	0	-
7	Q1_4	GN Division	continuous	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
8	Q1_5	Parcel Serial Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	10946	96	-
9	Q61A_1	P1 Mature VP Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	9483	1559	-
10	Q61A_2	P1 Mature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	9614	1428	-
11	Q61A_3	P1 Mature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	9421	1621	-
12	Q61A_4	P1 Mature VP Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	2697	8345	-
13	Q61A_5	P1 Mature VP Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	2610	8432	-
14	Q61A_6	P1 Mature VP Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	170	10872	-
15	Q61A_7	P1 Mature VP Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	180	10862	-
16	Q61A_8	P1 Mature VP Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	477	10565	-
17	Q61A_9	P1 Mature VP Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	487	10555	-
18	Q62A_1	P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	566	10476	-
19	Q62A_2	P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	570	10472	-
20	Q62A_3	P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	564	10478	-
21	Q62A_4	P1 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	199	10843	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	Q62A_5	P1 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	190	10852	-
23	Q62A_6	P1 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	14	11028	-
24	Q62A_7	P1 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	11	11031	-
25	Q62A_8	P1 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	45	10997	-
26	Q62A_9	P1 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	44	10998	-
27	Q63A_1	P1 Immature VP Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	374	10668	-
28	Q63A_2	P1 Immature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	383	10659	-
29	Q63A_3	P1 Immature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	357	10685	-
30	Q63A_4	P1 Immature VP Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	24	11018	-
31	Q63A_5	P1 Immature VP Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	23	11019	-
32	Q63A_6	P1 Immature VP Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	7	11035	-
33	Q63A_7	P1 Immature VP Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	6	11036	-
34	Q63A_8	P1 Immature VP Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	17	11025	-
35	Q63A_9	P1 Immature VP Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	16	11026	-
36	Q64A_1	P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	24	11018	-
37	Q64A_2	P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	28	11014	-
38	Q64A_3	P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	25	11017	-
39	Q61B_1	P2 Mature VP Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	120	10922	-
40	Q61B_2	P2 Mature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	127	10915	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
41	Q61B_3	P2 Mature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	123	10919	-
42	Q61B_4	P2 Mature VP Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	57	10985	-
43	Q61B_5	P2 Mature VP Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	56	10986	-
44	Q61B_6	P2 Mature VP Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	3	11039	-
45	Q61B_7	P2 Mature VP Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	2	11040	-
46	Q61B_8	P2 Mature VP Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
47	Q61B_9	P2 Mature VP Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	4	11038	-
48	Q62B_1	P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	4	11038	-
49	Q62B_2	P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	5	11037	-
50	Q62B_3	P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	5	11037	-
51	Q62B_4	P2 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
52	Q62B_5	P2 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
53	Q62B_6	P2 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	1	11041	-
54	Q62B_7	P2 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
55	Q62B_8	P2 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
56	Q62B_9	P2 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
57	Q63B_1	P2 Immature VP Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	16	11026	-
58	Q63B_2	P2 Immature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	16	11026	-
59	Q63B_3	P2 Immature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	15	11027	-
60	Q63B_4	P2 Immature VP Weedisides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
61	Q63B_5	P2 Immature VP Weedisides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
62	Q63B_6	P2 Immature VP Insecticides applied	continuous	numeric-2.0	0	11042	-
63	Q63B_7	P2 Immature VP Insecticides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
64	Q63B_8	P2 Immature VP Fungicides applied	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
65	Q63B_9	P2 Immature VP Fungicides applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
66	Q64B_1	P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer	continuous	numeric-1.0	1	11041	-
67	Q64B_2	P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg	continuous	numeric-4.0	2	11040	-
68	Q64B_3	P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied No of turns	continuous	numeric-1.0	0	11042	-
69	Q71_1	No of plucking turns (days)	continuous	numeric-1.0	10395	647	-
70	Q72_1	Reason 1 for plucking after 7 days	continuous	numeric-1.0	391	10651	-
71	Q72_2	Reason 2 for plucking after 7 days	continuous	numeric-1.0	4064	6978	-
72	Q72_3	Reason 3 for plucking after 7 days	continuous	numeric-1.0	10	11032	-
73	Q72_4	Reason 4 for plucking after 7 days	continuous	numeric-1.0	197	10845	-
74	Q73_1	Plucking method	continuous	numeric-1.0	10395	647	-
75	Q74_1	Container used for gree leaf 1	continuous	numeric-1.0	10374	668	-
76	Q74_2	Container used for gree leaf 2	continuous	numeric-1.0	33	11009	-
77	Q74_3	Container used for gree leaf 3	continuous	numeric-1.0	3	11039	-
78	Q74_4	Container used for gree leaf 4	continuous	numeric-1.0	68	10974	-
79	Q75_1_1	Plastic basket - refrain from	continuous	numeric-1.0	3989	7053	Reasons for not using the container
80	Q75_1_2	Nylon basket- refrain from	continuous	numeric-1.0	3422	7620	Reasons for not using the container
81	Q75_1_3	Leaf cutter- refrain from	continuous	numeric-1.0	3296	7746	Reasons for not using the container
82	Q75_2_1	Plastic basket - expensive	continuous	numeric-1.0	2342	8700	Reasons for not using the container
83	Q75_2_2	Nylon basket- expensive	continuous	numeric-1.0	2295	8747	Reasons for not using the container
84	Q75_2_3	Leaf cutter- expensive	continuous	numeric-1.0	2410	8632	Reasons for not using the container

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
85	Q75_3_1	Plastic basket - Usage unfamiliar	continuous	numeric-1.0	2129	8913	Reasons for not using the container
86	Q75_3_2	Nylon basket - Usage unfamiliar	continuous	numeric-1.0	2173	8869	Reasons for not using the container
87	Q75_3_3	Leaf cutter - Usage unfamiliar	continuous	numeric-1.0	3565	7477	Reasons for not using the container
88	Q75_4_1	Plastic basket - No place to purchase	continuous	numeric-1.0	2942	8100	Reasons for not using the container
89	Q75_4_2	Nylon basket - No place to purchase	continuous	numeric-1.0	2872	8170	Reasons for not using the container
90	Q75_4_3	Leaf cutter - No place to purchase	continuous	numeric-1.0	2711	8331	Reasons for not using the container
91	Q75_5_1	Plastic basket - other	continuous	numeric-1.0	1693	9349	Reasons for not using the container
92	Q75_5_2	Nylon basket - other	continuous	numeric-1.0	1647	9395	Reasons for not using the container
93	Q75_5_3	Leaf cutter - other	continuous	numeric-1.0	1625	9417	Reasons for not using the container
94	Q81_1	Pruning frequency	continuous	numeric-1.0	10087	955	8.1 What is the frequency of pruning of this land in a year
95	Q82_1	Pruning month in the year	continuous	numeric-2.0	10096	946	-
96	Q83_1	Pruning height (inches)	continuous	numeric-1.0	9980	1062	-
97	Q84_1	Pruning style	continuous	numeric-1.0	9972	1070	-
98	Q91_1	Man Days female for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	9238	1804	9 Utilization of labour Manner in which labour was utilized for the cultivation work of the land in year 2006
99	Q91_2	Man Days male for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	3058	7984	-
100	Q91_3	Hired labour 100% women one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	3366	7676	-
101	Q91_4	Hired labour 100% men one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	651	10391	-
102	Q91_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	958	10084	-
103	Q91_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	268	10774	-
104	Q91_7	Family labour 50% women for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	710	10332	-
105	Q91_8	Family labour 50% men for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	297	10745	-
106	Q91_9	Family labour 100% women for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	4916	6126	-
107	Q91_10	Family labour 100% men for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-1.0	2178	8864	-
108	Q91_11	Wages per day women for	continuous	numeric-3.0	4678	6364	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		one plucking round					
109	Q91_12	Wages per day men for one plucking round	continuous	numeric-3.0	1093	9949	-
110	Q92_1	Man Days female for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	369	10673	-
111	Q92_2	Man Days male for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	8183	2859	-
112	Q92_3	Hired labour 100% women for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	107	10935	-
113	Q92_4	Hired labour 100% men for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	5381	5661	-
114	Q92_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	37	11005	-
115	Q92_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	436	10606	-
116	Q92_7	Family labour 50% women for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	48	10994	-
117	Q92_8	Family labour 50% men for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	271	10771	-
118	Q92_9	Family labour 100% women for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	341	10701	-
119	Q92_10	Family labour 100% men for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-1.0	2597	8445	-
120	Q92_11	Wages per day women for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-3.0	137	10905	-
121	Q92_12	Wages per day men for one pruning round	continuous	numeric-3.0	6174	4868	-
122	Q93_1	Man Days female for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	5261	5781	-
123	Q93_2	Man Days male for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	6477	4565	-
124	Q93_3	Hired labour 100% women for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	1284	9758	-
125	Q93_4	Hired labour 100% men for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	1942	9100	-
126	Q93_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	384	10658	-
127	Q93_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	427	10615	-
128	Q93_7	Family labour 50% women for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	433	10609	-
129	Q93_8	Family labour 50% men for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	440	10602	-
130	Q93_9	Family labour 100%	continuous	numeric-1.0	3664	7378	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		women for weeding					
131	Q93_10	Family labour 100% men for weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	4136	6906	-
132	Q93_11	Wages per day women for weeding	continuous	numeric-3.0	1827	9215	-
133	Q93_12	Wages per day men for weeding	continuous	numeric-3.0	2638	8404	-
134	Q94_1	Man Days female for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	1579	9463	-
135	Q94_2	Man Days male for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	8081	2961	-
136	Q94_3	Hired labour 100% women for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	287	10755	-
137	Q94_4	Hired labour 100% men for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	2252	8790	-
138	Q94_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	53	10989	-
139	Q94_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	294	10748	-
140	Q94_7	Family labour 50% women for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	103	10939	-
141	Q94_8	Family labour 50% men for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	358	10684	-
142	Q94_9	Family labour 100% women for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	1530	9512	-
143	Q94_10	Family labour 100% men for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	5586	5456	-
144	Q94_11	Wages per day women for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-3.0	329	10713	-
145	Q94_12	Wages per day men for Fertilizing	continuous	numeric-3.0	2738	8304	-
146	Q95_1	Man Days female for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	131	10911	-
147	Q95_2	Man Days male for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	2731	8311	-
148	Q95_3	Hired labour 100% women for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	39	11003	-
149	Q95_4	Hired labour 100% men for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	1254	9788	-
150	Q95_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	6	11036	-
151	Q95_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	141	10901	-
152	Q95_7	Family labour 50% women for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	14	11028	-
153	Q95_8	Family labour 50% men for	continuous	numeric-1.0	122	10920	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		chemicals					
154	Q95_9	Family labour 100% women for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	257	10785	-
155	Q95_10	Family labour 100% men for chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	1465	9577	-
156	Q95_11	Wages per day women for chemicals	continuous	numeric-3.0	39	11003	-
157	Q95_12	Wages per day men for chemicals	continuous	numeric-3.0	1497	9545	-
158	Q96_1	Man Days female for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	409	10633	-
159	Q96_2	Man Days male for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	5729	5313	-
160	Q96_3	Hired labour 100% women for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	62	10980	-
161	Q96_4	Hired labour 100% men for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	1645	9397	-
162	Q96_5	Hired labour more than 50% women for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	8	11034	-
163	Q96_6	Hired labour more than 50% men for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	212	10830	-
164	Q96_7	Family labour 50% women for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	30	11012	-
165	Q96_8	Family labour 50% men for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	241	10801	-
166	Q96_9	Family labour 100% women for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	529	10513	-
167	Q96_10	Family labour 100% men for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	3987	7055	-
168	Q96_11	Wages per day women for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-3.0	66	10976	-
169	Q96_12	Wages per day men for shade pruning	continuous	numeric-3.0	2023	9019	-
170	Q97_1	Man Days female other	continuous	numeric-1.0	174	10868	-
171	Q97_2	Man Days male other	continuous	numeric-1.0	882	10160	-
172	Q97_3	Hired labour 100% women other	continuous	numeric-1.0	43	10999	-
173	Q97_4	Hired labour 100% men other	continuous	numeric-1.0	270	10772	-
174	Q97_5	Hired labour more than 50% women other	continuous	numeric-1.0	14	11028	-
175	Q97_6	Hired labour more than 50% men other	continuous	numeric-1.0	42	11000	-
176	Q97_7	Family labour 50% women	continuous	numeric-1.0	16	11026	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T2 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		other					
177	Q97_8	Family labour 50% men other	continuous	numeric-1.0	53	10989	-
178	Q97_9	Family labour 100% women other	continuous	numeric-1.0	212	10830	-
179	Q97_10	Family labour 100% men other	continuous	numeric-1.0	633	10409	-
180	Q97_11	Wages per day women other	continuous	numeric-3.0	51	10991	-
181	Q97_12	Wages per day men other	continuous	numeric-3.0	387	10655	-
182	Q91_A1	Whether there is a labour shortage for activities of the land?	continuous	numeric-1.0	10415	627	-
183	Q92_A1	Labour shortage for Plucking	continuous	numeric-1.0	1760	9282	-
184	Q92_A2	Labour shortage for Pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	661	10381	-
185	Q92_A3	Labour shortage for Weeding	continuous	numeric-1.0	1108	9934	-
186	Q92_A4	Labour shortage for Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	259	10783	-
187	Q101_1	Have you taken subsidies for the activities of the land?	continuous	numeric-1.0	10139	903	-
188	Q102_1	Year 1 subsidies obtained	continuous	numeric-4.0	2210	8832	-
189	Q102_2	Year 2 subsidies obtained	continuous	numeric-4.0	395	10647	-
190	Q102_3	Year 3 subsidies obtained	continuous	numeric-4.0	149	10893	-
191	Q103_1	Were there problems in obtaining subsidies?	continuous	numeric-4.0	2919	8123	-
192	Q104_1	Problem 1 of obtaining subsidies	continuous	numeric-1.0	153	10889	-
193	Q104_2	Problem 2 of obtaining subsidies	continuous	numeric-1.0	62	10980	-
194	Q104_3	Problem 3 of obtaining subsidies	continuous	numeric-1.0	117	10925	-
195	ImageAddress	Image Address	discrete	character-12	11042	0	-

File T3							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	B1	B1	continuous	numeric-4.0	0	11042	-
2	T3	Part of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
3	SERIAL_NO	Serial No	continuous	numeric-6.0	11042	0	-
4	Q1_1	District	discrete	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T3 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	Q1_2	DS Division	continuous	numeric-3.0	11042	0	-
6	Q1_3	Village	discrete	character-2	2267	0	-
7	Q1_4	GN Division	continuous	numeric-2.0	11042	0	-
8	Q1_5	Parcel Serial Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	10946	96	-
9	Q105_1_1	Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	45	10997	PI state the year and the land extent cultivated
10	Q105_1_2	Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2005	continuous	numeric-1.0	103	10939	-
11	Q105_1_3	Replants with subsidies (Perches) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	29	11013	-
12	Q105_1_4	Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	108	10934	-
13	Q105_1_5	Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2005	continuous	numeric-1.0	188	10854	-
14	Q105_1_6	Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	57	10985	-
15	Q105_1_7	New plantations (Acres) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	30	11012	-
16	Q105_1_8	New plantations (Rood) 2005	continuous	numeric-1.0	166	10876	-
17	Q105_1_9	New plantations (Perches) 2005	continuous	numeric-2.0	95	10947	-
18	Q105_2_1	Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	20	11022	-
19	Q105_2_2	Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2006	continuous	numeric-1.0	55	10987	-
20	Q105_2_3	Replants with subsidies (Perches) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	18	11024	-
21	Q105_2_4	Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	9	11033	-
22	Q105_2_5	Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2006	continuous	numeric-1.0	53	10989	-
23	Q105_2_6	Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	34	11008	-
24	Q105_2_7	New plantations (Acres) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	18	11024	-
25	Q105_2_8	New plantations (Rood) 2006	continuous	numeric-1.0	109	10933	-
26	Q105_2_9	New plantations (Perches) 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	83	10959	-
27	Q105_3_1	Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	12	11030	-
28	Q105_3_2	Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2007	continuous	numeric-1.0	50	10992	-
29	Q105_3_3	Replants with subsidies	continuous	numeric-2.0	19	11023	-

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T3 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		(Perches) 2007					
30	Q105_3_4	Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	2	11040	-
31	Q105_3_5	Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2007	continuous	numeric-1.0	38	11004	-
32	Q105_3_6	Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	36	11006	-
33	Q105_3_7	New plantations (Acres) 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	14	11028	-
34	Q105_3_8	New plantations (Rood) 2007	continuous	numeric-1.0	97	10945	-
35	Q105_3_9	New plantations (Perches) 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	85	10957	-
36	Q111_1	Training obtained	continuous	numeric-1.0	10366	676	11 Promotional services 11.1 Did you obtain any training or advice for the cultivation activities of your land in the last year?
37	Q112_1	How many times training obtained	continuous	numeric-1.0	2385	8657	F11.2 If answer for 11.1 is yes how many times?
38	Q113_1	Training obtained from TSHA officers	continuous	numeric-1.0	2678	8364	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
39	Q113_2	Training obtained from nearest estate	continuous	numeric-1.0	385	10657	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
40	Q113_3	Training obtained from borchers	continuous	numeric-1.0	440	10602	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
41	Q113_4	Training obtained from local organizations	continuous	numeric-1.0	1439	9603	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
42	Q113_5	Training obtained from company	continuous	numeric-1.0	309	10733	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
43	Q113_6	Training obtained from TRI	continuous	numeric-1.0	129	10913	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
44	Q113_7	Training obtained from Other	continuous	numeric-1.0	92	10950	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
45	Q12_1	Training on plucking	continuous	numeric-1.0	2127	8915	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
46	Q12_2	Training on fertilizing	continuous	numeric-1.0	1837	9205	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
47	Q12_3	Training on pruning	continuous	numeric-1.0	2549	8493	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
48	Q12_4	Training on nurseries	continuous	numeric-1.0	2965	8077	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
49	Q12_5	Training on chemicals	continuous	numeric-1.0	999	10043	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?

Sample Survey of Tea Small Holdings - 2008 - Variables List

File T3 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
50	Q12_6	Training on land upkeep	continuous	numeric-1.0	4730	6312	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
51	Q12_7	Training on other	continuous	numeric-1.0	731	10311	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?
52	Q121_1	Keep records	continuous	numeric-1.0	10189	853	12.1 Do you keep records on fertilizer, chemicals, labour utilization, expenses, harvest (except green leaf book) in your tea holding
53	Q122_2	Willingness to keep a hand book	continuous	numeric-1.0	9007	2035	12.2 If you don't keep records, please indicate your willingness to maintain a hand book
54	Q131_1	Residing in	continuous	numeric-1.0	10679	363	13.1 You are residing in :
55	Q132_1	House	continuous	numeric-1.0	10573	469	13.2 House : Type of house
56	Q133_1	Electricity available	continuous	numeric-1.0	10586	456	13.3 Lighting : Do you have electricity
57	Q134_1	Transport facilities car	continuous	numeric-1.0	750	10292	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:
58	Q134_2	Transport facilities M/cycle	continuous	numeric-1.0	2073	8969	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:
59	Q134_3	Transport facilities tractor	continuous	numeric-1.0	147	10895	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:
60	Q134_4	Transport facilities cycle	continuous	numeric-1.0	1425	9617	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:
61	Q135_1	Communication : Have telephone?	continuous	numeric-1.0	9897	1145	13.5 Communication : Do you have Telephone facilities?
62	Q136_1	Audio Visual: TV	continuous	numeric-1.0	8599	2443	13.6 Audio visual medium : TV
63	Q136_2	Audio Visual : Radio	continuous	numeric-1.0	6201	4841	13.6 Audio visual medium : Radio
64	Q136_3	Audio Visual: Newspapers	continuous	numeric-1.0	1594	9448	13.6 Audio visual medium : Newspapers
65	Q137_1	Loans	continuous	numeric-1.0	9538	1504	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation?
66	Q137_1_1	Development bank Loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	112	10930	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation? 13.7.1 Source of loan: Development bank / Indirect Capital Laoan System
67	Q137_1_2	Alternative means (loan)	continuous	numeric-1.0	183	10859	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation? 13.7.1 Source of loan: From other person or an alternative
68	Q137_1_3	Other comm Bank loan	continuous	numeric-1.0	352	10690	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation? 13.7.1 Source of loan: Other Commercial banks
69	ImageAddress	Image Address	discrete	character-12	11042	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 406 variable(s)

File T1

#1 T1: Part of Questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		11042	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#2 SERIALNO: Serial Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 62-325098] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=72710.949 /-] [StdDev=47142.76 /-]		

#3 Q1_1: District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Kalutara	893	8.1%
21	Kandy	672	6.1%
22	Matale	89	0.8%
23	Nuwara Eliya	873	7.9%
31	Galle	1939	17.6%
32	Matara	1775	16.1%
81	Badulla	1545	14.0%
91	Ratnapura	1991	18.0%
92	Kegalle	1265	11.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#4 Q1_2: DS Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-42] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23.853 /-] [StdDev=14.088 /-]		

#5 Q1_3: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Frequency table not shown (38 Modalities)	

File T1 (cont.)

#6 Q1_4: GN Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-275] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=124.337 /-] [StdDev=96.461 /-]

#7 Q1_5: Parcel Serial Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-337] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10946 /-] [Invalid=96 /-] [Mean=54.744 /-] [StdDev=37.439 /-]

#8 Q2_1: Principal Owner's Name with Initials

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10960 /-]

#9 Q2_2: Operator's Name with initials (if the owner is not the operator)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1265 /-]

#10 Q3_1_4: Name of Tea Holding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10790 /-]

#11 Q3_1_1: Extent of tea Holding - acres

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-197] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3520 /-] [Invalid=7522 /-] [Mean=1.715 /-] [StdDev=4.572 /-]

#12 Q3_1_2: Extent of tea Holding - rood

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7178 /-] [Invalid=3864 /-] [Mean=1.857 /-] [StdDev=0.766 /-]

#13 Q3_1_3: Extent of tea Holding - perches

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2387 /-] [Invalid=8655 /-] [Mean=21.411 /-] [StdDev=12.705 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#14 Q4_A_1: Male LT 15 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3600 /-] [Invalid=7442 /-] [Mean=1.279 /-] [StdDev=0.572 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Male less than 15

#15 Q4_A_2: Female LT 15 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=7702 /-] [Mean=1.287 /-] [StdDev=0.58 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Female less than 15

#16 Q4_B_1: Male between 15 - 49 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8498 /-] [Invalid=2544 /-] [Mean=1.442 /-] [StdDev=0.742 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Male between 15 - 49

#17 Q4_B_2: Female between 15 - 49 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8379 /-] [Invalid=2663 /-] [Mean=1.438 /-] [StdDev=0.806 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Female between 15 - 49

#18 Q4_C_1: Male between 50 - 64 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4049 /-] [Invalid=6993 /-] [Mean=1.015 /-] [StdDev=0.149 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Male between 50 - 64

#19 Q4_C_2: Female between 50 - 64 (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4133 /-] [Invalid=6909 /-] [Mean=1.052 /-] [StdDev=0.5 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#19 Q4_C_2: Female between 50 - 64 (number) (cont.)

Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Female between 50 - 64
------------------	--

#20 Q4_D_1: Male 65 and above (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1295 /-] [Invalid=9747 /-] [Mean=1.042 /-] [StdDev=0.365 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Male 65 and above

#21 Q4_D_2: Male 65 and above (number)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1545 /-] [Invalid=9497 /-] [Mean=1.058 /-] [StdDev=0.504 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Male 65 and above

#22 Q4_E_1: Total number of male members

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9859 /-] [Invalid=1183 /-] [Mean=2.23 /-] [StdDev=1.249 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of male members

#23 Q4_E_2: Total number of female members

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-81] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9967 /-] [Invalid=1075 /-] [Mean=2.206 /-] [StdDev=1.437 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of female members

#24 Q4_F: Total number of male & female

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10374 /-] [Invalid=668 /-] [Mean=4.262 /-] [StdDev=1.548 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of male & female

File T1 (cont.)

#25 Q4_0: Number of children LT 5yrs (non-schooling)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1009 /-] [Invalid=10033 /-] [Mean=1.219 /-] [StdDev=0.84 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number of children less than 5yrs (non-schooling)

#26 Q4_1: Number GT 5yrs (never to school)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2020 /-] [Invalid=9022 /-] [Mean=1.18 /-] [StdDev=0.485 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number greater than 5yrs (never went to school)

#27 Q4_2: Number passed Grade 5 or lower

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5639 /-] [Invalid=5403 /-] [Mean=1.475 /-] [StdDev=0.693 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed Grade 5 or lower

#28 Q4_3: Number passed a Grade between 6 to 10

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7607 /-] [Invalid=3435 /-] [Mean=1.943 /-] [StdDev=1.016 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a Grade between 6 to 10

#29 Q4_4: Number passed a GCE O/L or an equivalent

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6159 /-] [Invalid=4883 /-] [Mean=1.769 /-] [StdDev=0.921 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a GCE O/L or an equivalent

#30 Q4_5: Number passed a GCE A/L or an equivalent

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3543 /-] [Invalid=7499 /-] [Mean=1.598 /-] [StdDev=0.852 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#30 Q4_5: Number passed a GCE A/L or an equivalent (cont.)

Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed a GCE A/L or an equivalent
------------------	--

#31 Q4_6: Number passed Degree or higher

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=596 /-] [Invalid=10446 /-] [Mean=1.371 /-] [StdDev=0.8 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Number passed Degree or higher

#32 Q4_7: Total number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10320 /-] [Invalid=722 /-] [Mean=4.241 /-] [StdDev=1.553 /-]
Literal question	Member details of your family including you and your household Total number of household members

#33 Q41_1_1: Main means of income

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10526 /-] [Invalid=516 /-] [Mean=2.553 /-] [StdDev=1.962 /-]	
Literal question		Main means of income of the family	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tea cultivation	5462	<div></div> 51.9%
2	Other agricultural activities	1316	<div></div> 12.5%
3	Working in a private organization	515	<div></div> 4.9%
4	Self employd non-agri sectors	584	<div></div> 5.5%
5	Working in a public organization	991	<div></div> 9.4%
6	Other	1658	<div></div> 15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#34 Q51_1_1A: Extent of Mature VP (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2291 /-] [Invalid=8751 /-] [Mean=1.477 /-] [StdDev=1.825 /-]
Literal question	Information pertaining to the tea holding (or each tea holding) belonging to the owner : Please provide information of the entire tea holding according to a suitable classification only if it is inconvenient to present the information line by line about Location of the entire tea holding, nature of cultivation, variety of tea,

File T1 (cont.)

#34 Q51_1_1A: Extent of Mature VP (Acres) (P1) (cont.)

harvest, maintenance etc. due to apportionment difficulties.

#35 Q51_1_1B: Extent of Mature VP (Rood) (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6421 /-] [Invalid=4621 /-] [Mean=1.798 /-] [StdDev=0.825 /-]

#36 Q51_1_1C: Extent of Mature VP (Perches) (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-80] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2433 /-] [Invalid=8609 /-] [Mean=21.181 /-] [StdDev=12.464 /-]

#37 Q51_1_2: Variety Code of Mature VP (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=9000 /-] [Invalid=2042 /-] [Mean=1.048 /-] [StdDev=0.435 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0	6	0.1%
1	1	8843	98.6%
2	2	41	0.5%
3	3	25	0.3%
4	4	54	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#38 Q51_1_3: Year of planting of Mature VP (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 986-8000] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=9646 /-] [Invalid=1396 /-] [Mean=1990.33 /-] [StdDev=182.108 /-]

#39 Q51_1_4: Year of last pruning done Mature VP (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-3005] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=9114 /-] [Invalid=1928 /-] [Mean=2005.84 /-] [StdDev=190.907 /-]

#40 Q51_1_5: Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (P1)

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1795 /-] [Invalid=9247 /-] [Mean=1.69 /-] [StdDev=0.67 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	705	39.7%

File T1 (cont.)

#40 Q51_1_5: Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (P1) (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Albecia	973	54.8%
3	Gliricedia	96	5.4%
5	Kaliandra	2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#41 Q51_1_6: Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-600] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1790 /-] [Invalid=9252 /-] [Mean=20.991 /-] [StdDev=96.63 /-]

#42 Q51_1_7: Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7525 /-] [Invalid=3517 /-] [Mean=3.008 /-] [StdDev=0.422 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	66	0.9%
2	Albecia	216	2.9%
3	Gliricedia	6912	92.2%
4	Erabudu	295	3.9%
5	Kaliandra	9	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#43 Q51_1_8: Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7423 /-] [Invalid=3619 /-] [Mean=68.767 /-] [StdDev=130.421 /-]

#44 Q51_1_9: Annual Harvest Kg Mature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40-17000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9591 /-] [Invalid=1451 /-] [Mean=2540.338 /-] [StdDev=4620.433 /-]

#45 Q51_1_10: Other crop Mature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3053 /-] [Invalid=7989 /-] [Mean=2.165 /-] [StdDev=1.089 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	8	0.3%
1	Coconut	1333	43.8%

File T1 (cont.)

#45 Q51_1_10: Other crop Mature VP (P1) (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rubber	58	1.9%
3	Minor exports	1474	48.4%
4	Other	172	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#46 Q51_2_1A: Extent of Mature Seedling (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-197] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=200 /-] [Invalid=10842 /-] [Mean=3.56 /-] [StdDev=15.373 /-]

#47 Q51_2_1B: Extent of Mature Seedling (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=712 /-] [Invalid=10330 /-] [Mean=1.642 /-] [StdDev=0.761 /-]

#48 Q51_2_1C: Extent of Mature Seedling (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-75] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=281 /-] [Invalid=10761 /-] [Mean=19.797 /-] [StdDev=11.93 /-]

#49 Q51_2_2: Variety Code of Mature Seedling (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 /-] [Invalid=10784 /-] [Mean=1.337 /-] [StdDev=0.903 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	222	86.4%
2	2	10	3.9%
4	4	25	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#50 Q51_2_3: Year of planting of Mature Seedling (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2005] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=796 /-] [Invalid=10246 /-] [Mean=1948.81 /-] [StdDev=162.294 /-]

#51 Q51_2_4: Year of last pruning done Mature Seedling (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=930 /-] [Invalid=10112 /-] [Mean=1992.467 /-] [StdDev=158.012 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#52 Q51_2_5: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=218 /-] [Invalid=10824 /-] [Mean=1.298 /-] [StdDev=0.657 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	165	76.0%
2	Albecia	47	21.7%
3	Gliricedia	3	1.4%
4	Erabudu	2	0.9%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#53 Q51_2_6: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-975] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=213 /-] [Invalid=10829 /-] [Mean=25.169 /-] [StdDev=102.58 /-]		

#54 Q51_2_7: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=612 /-] [Invalid=10430 /-] [Mean=3.052 /-] [StdDev=0.401 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	8	1.3%
2	Albecia	10	1.6%
3	Gliricedia	536	87.6%
4	Erabudu	58	9.5%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#55 Q51_2_8: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=606 /-] [Invalid=10436 /-] [Mean=75.55 /-] [StdDev=133.112 /-]		

#56 Q51_2_9: Annual Harvest Kg Mature Seedling (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95500] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=946 /-] [Invalid=10096 /-] [Mean=1333.527 /-] [StdDev=4534.293 /-]		

#57 Q51_2_10: Other crop Mature Seedling (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

File T1 (cont.)

#57 Q51_2_10: Other crop Mature Seedling (P1) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=293 /-] [Invalid=10749 /-] [Mean=2.85 /-] [StdDev=0.748 /-]
--------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	0	0.0%
1	Coconut	28	9.6%
2	Rubber	12	4.1%
3	Minor exports	234	80.4%
4	Other	17	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#58 Q51_3_1A: Extent of Immature VP (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=86 /-] [Invalid=10956 /-] [Mean=1.5 /-] [StdDev=1.774 /-]
--------------------	--

#59 Q51_3_1B: Extent of Immature VP (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=491 /-] [Invalid=10551 /-] [Mean=1.523 /-] [StdDev=0.695 /-]
--------------------	---

#60 Q51_3_1C: Extent of Immature VP (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-60] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=236 /-] [Invalid=10806 /-] [Mean=19.28 /-] [StdDev=10.943 /-]
--------------------	--

#61 Q51_3_2: Variety Code of Immature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=525 /-] [Invalid=10517 /-] [Mean=1.15 /-] [StdDev=0.61 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	491	94.8%
2	2	8	1.5%
4	4	19	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#62 Q51_3_3: Year of planting of Immature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1999-2007] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=679 /-] [Invalid=10363 /-] [Mean=2011.42 /-] [StdDev=207.625 /-]
--------------------	---

File T1 (cont.)

#63 Q51_3_4: Year of last pruning done Immature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=101 /-] [Invalid=10941 /-] [Mean=1966.713 /-] [StdDev=280.004 /-]

#64 Q51_3_5: Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61 /-] [Invalid=10981 /-] [Mean=1.754 /-] [StdDev=0.505 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	17	27.9%
2	Albecia	42	68.9%
3	Gliricedia	2	3.3%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#65 Q51_3_6: Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=10980 /-] [Mean=20.774 /-] [StdDev=41.522 /-]

#66 Q51_3_7: Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=334 /-] [Invalid=10708 /-] [Mean=2.952 /-] [StdDev=0.642 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	6	1.8%
2	Albecia	27	8.2%
3	Gliricedia	291	87.9%
4	Erabudu	6	1.8%
5	Kaliandra	1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#67 Q51_3_8: Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-500] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=350 /-] [Invalid=10692 /-] [Mean=63.543 /-] [StdDev=102.557 /-]

#68 Q51_3_9: Annual Harvest Kg Immature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35-1110] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T1 (cont.)

#68 Q51_3_9: Annual Harvest Kg Immature VP (P1) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=217 -/] [Invalid=10825 -/] [Mean=1479.894 -/] [StdDev=2282.766 -/]
--------------------	---

#69 Q51_3_10: Other crop Immature VP (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=87 -/] [Invalid=10955 -/] [Mean=2.701 -/] [StdDev=1.152 -/]
--------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	0	0.0%
1	Coconut	24	27.9%
2	Rubber	1	1.2%
3	Minor exports	40	46.5%
4	Other	21	24.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#70 Q51_4_1A: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53 -/] [Invalid=10989 -/] [Mean=1.472 -/] [StdDev=1.049 -/]
--------------------	--

#71 Q51_4_1B: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=253 -/] [Invalid=10789 -/] [Mean=1.644 -/] [StdDev=0.667 -/]
--------------------	---

#72 Q51_4_1C: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-80] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95 -/] [Invalid=10947 -/] [Mean=18.305 -/] [StdDev=11.656 -/]
--------------------	--

#73 Q51_5_1A: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42 -/] [Invalid=11000 -/] [Mean=1.905 -/] [StdDev=2.564 -/]
--------------------	--

Literal question	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of replanting tea (currently grass not grown)
------------------	---

#74 Q51_5_1B: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=152 -/] [Invalid=10890 -/] [Mean=1.586 -/] [StdDev=0.685 -/]
--------------------	---

Literal question	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of replanting tea (currently grass not grown)
------------------	---

File T1 (cont.)

#75 Q51_5_1C: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=87 /-] [Invalid=10955 /-] [Mean=20.632 /-] [StdDev=9.701 /-]
Literal question	Extent of land where the old tea is uprooted with the expectation of replanting tea (currently grass not grown)

#76 Q51_6_1A: Extent of nurseries (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=1.333 /-] [StdDev=0.577 /-]

#77 Q51_6_1B: Extent of nurseries (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=11012 /-] [Mean=1.267 /-] [StdDev=0.691 /-]

#78 Q51_6_1C: Extent of nurseries (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-40] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=47 /-] [Invalid=10995 /-] [Mean=15.787 /-] [StdDev=9.729 /-]

#79 Q51_7_1A: Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=10892 /-] [Mean=2.08 /-] [StdDev=4.284 /-]

#80 Q51_7_1B: Extent of abandoned land (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=632 /-] [Invalid=10410 /-] [Mean=1.617 /-] [StdDev=0.74 /-]

#81 Q51_7_1C: Extent of abandoned land (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-60] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=325 /-] [Invalid=10717 /-] [Mean=19.289 /-] [StdDev=11.112 /-]

#82 Q51_8_1A: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Acres) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-58] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2129 /-] [Invalid=8913 /-] [Mean=1.773 /-] [StdDev=5.416 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#83 Q51_8_1B: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Rood) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5256 /-] [Invalid=5786 /-] [Mean=1.884 /-] [StdDev=0.895 /-]

#84 Q51_8_1C: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Perches) (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1907 /-] [Invalid=9135 /-] [Mean=21.739 /-] [StdDev=13.121 /-]

#85 Q51_9_9: Total annual harvest kg. (P1)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35-80500] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8540 /-] [Invalid=2502 /-] [Mean=2453.849 /-] [StdDev=4399.2 /-]

#86 Q52_1_1A: Extent of Mature VP (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42 /-] [Invalid=11000 /-] [Mean=1.738 /-] [StdDev=2.538 /-]

#87 Q52_1_1B: Extent of Mature VP (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=107 /-] [Invalid=10935 /-] [Mean=1.738 /-] [StdDev=0.649 /-]

#88 Q52_1_1C: Extent of Mature VP (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=17.938 /-] [StdDev=9.448 /-]

#89 Q52_1_2: Variety Code of Mature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122 /-] [Invalid=10920 /-] [Mean=1.008 /-] [StdDev=0.0905 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	121	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#90 Q52_1_3: Year of planting of Mature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1965-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=140 /-] [Invalid=10902 /-] [Mean=1987.85 /-] [StdDev=86.894 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#91 Q52_1_4: Year of last pruning done Mature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2000-2006] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=112 /-] [Invalid=10930 /-] [Mean=1987.723 /-] [StdDev=189.428 /-]

#92 Q52_1_5: Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10 /-] [Invalid=11032 /-] [Mean=1.8 /-] [StdDev=0.422 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	2	20.0%
2	Albecia	8	80.0%
3	Gliricedia	0	0.0%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#93 Q52_1_6: Shady trees of Mature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10 /-] [Invalid=11032 /-] [Mean=106.3 /-] [StdDev=280.421 /-]

#94 Q52_1_7: Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=10954 /-] [Mean=3.068 /-] [StdDev=0.691 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	0	0.0%
2	Albecia	2	2.3%
3	Gliricedia	84	96.6%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	1	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#95 Q52_1_8: Shady trees of Mature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=89 /-] [Invalid=10953 /-] [Mean=81.449 /-] [StdDev=115.918 /-]

#96 Q52_1_9: Annual Harvest Kg Mature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20-1200] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T1 (cont.)

#96 Q52_1_9: Annual Harvest Kg Mature VP (P2) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=10903 /-] [Mean=3310.849 /-] [StdDev=9624.881 /-]
--------------------	---

#97 Q52_1_10: Other crop Mature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=11013 /-] [Mean=2.069 /-] [StdDev=1.163 /-]
--------------------	--

#98 Q52_2_1A: Extent of Mature Seedling (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#99 Q52_2_1B: Extent of Mature Seedling (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=11036 /-] [Mean=1.833 /-] [StdDev=0.753 /-]
--------------------	---

#100 Q52_2_1C: Extent of Mature Seedling (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=1 /-]
--------------------	---

#101 Q52_2_2: Variety Code of Mature Seedling (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#102 Q52_2_3: Year of planting of Mature Seedling (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 940-2006] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=11034 /-] [Mean=1588.875 /-] [StdDev=734.764 /-]
--------------------	--

#103 Q52_2_4: Year of last pruning done Mature Seedling (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2006-2007] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=11037 /-] [Mean=2006.6 /-] [StdDev=0.548 /-]
--------------------	--

File T1 (cont.)

#104 Q52_2_5: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=1.5 /-] [StdDev=0.707 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	1	50.0%
2	Albecia	1	50.0%
3	Gliricedia	0	0.0%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#105 Q52_2_6: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - high shade (number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28-30] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=29 /-] [StdDev=1.414 /-]		

#106 Q52_2_7: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=3 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	0	0.0%
2	Albecia	0	0.0%
3	Gliricedia	2	100.0%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#107 Q52_2_8: Shady trees of Mature Seedling - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30-30] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=210.333 /-] [StdDev=337.773 /-]		

#108 Q52_2_9: Annual Harvest Kg Mature Seedling (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-3800] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=11035 /-] [Mean=1537.143 /-] [StdDev=1401.306 /-]		

#109 Q52_2_10: Other crop Mature Seedling (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
-------------	---	--	--

File T1 (cont.)

#109 Q52_2_10: Other crop Mature Seedling (P2) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown		
1	Coconut		
2	Rubber		
3	Minor exports		
4	Other		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#110 Q52_3_1A: Extent of Immature VP (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=2.5 /-] [StdDev=2.121 /-]

#111 Q52_3_1B: Extent of Immature VP (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=11020 /-] [Mean=1.682 /-] [StdDev=1.756 /-]

#112 Q52_3_1C: Extent of Immature VP (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=11033 /-] [Mean=17.333 /-] [StdDev=6.928 /-]

#113 Q52_3_2: Variety Code of Immature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=1.125 /-] [StdDev=0.5 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	15	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#114 Q52_3_3: Year of planting of Immature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2006-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=11019 /-] [Mean=2004.957 /-] [StdDev=4.913 /-]

#115 Q52_3_4: Year of last pruning done Immature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File T1 (cont.)

#115 Q52_3_4: Year of last pruning done Immature VP (P2) (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=2007 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#116 Q52_3_5: Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia		
2	Albecia		
3	Gliricedia		
4	Erabudu		
5	Kaliandra		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#117 Q52_3_6: Shady trees of Immature VP - high shade (number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

#118 Q52_3_7: Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=11033 /-] [Mean=2.778 /-] [StdDev=0.441 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gravelia	0	0.0%
2	Albecia	2	22.2%
3	Gliricedia	7	77.8%
4	Erabudu	0	0.0%
5	Kaliandra	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#119 Q52_3_8: Shady trees of Immature VP - medium shade (Number of trees) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10 /-] [Invalid=11032 /-] [Mean=55.8 /-] [StdDev=59.065 /-]
--------------------	--

#120 Q52_3_9: Annual Harvest Kg Immature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=11027 /-] [Mean=2066.733 /-] [StdDev=2887.506 /-]
--------------------	--

File T1 (cont.)

#121 Q52_3_10: Other crop Immature VP (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=1.732 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unknown	0	0.0%
1	Coconut	2	66.7%
2	Rubber	0	0.0%
3	Minor exports	0	0.0%
4	Other	1	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#122 Q52_4_1A: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=1 /-]		

#123 Q52_4_1B: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=11033 /-] [Mean=1.667 /-] [StdDev=0.707 /-]		

#124 Q52_4_1C: Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=11.5 /-] [StdDev=12.021 /-]		

#125 Q52_5_1A: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=2 /-]		

#126 Q52_5_1B: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		

#127 Q52_5_1C: Uprooted extent for tea cultivation (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=6.333 /-] [StdDev=5.132 /-]		

File T1 (cont.)

#128 Q52_6_1A: Extent of nurseries (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=2 /-]

#129 Q52_6_1B: Extent of nurseries (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=1 /-]

#130 Q52_6_1C: Extent of nurseries (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#131 Q52_7_1A: Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#132 Q52_7_1B: Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=11030 /-] [Mean=1.75 /-] [StdDev=0.622 /-]

#133 Q52_7_1C: Extent of abandoned land (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#134 Q52_8_1A: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Acres) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41 /-] [Invalid=11001 /-] [Mean=1.488 /-] [StdDev=2.075 /-]

#135 Q52_8_1B: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Rood) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=110 /-] [Invalid=10932 /-] [Mean=1.7 /-] [StdDev=0.863 /-]

File T1 (cont.)

#136 Q52_8_1C: Total extenrt of tea plantation (Perches) (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=11016 /-] [Mean=17.385 /-] [StdDev=11.541 /-]

#137 Q52_9_9: Total annual harvest kg. (P2)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20-7500] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=619 /-] [Invalid=10423 /-] [Mean=2334.444 /-] [StdDev=4578.264 /-]

#138 Q52_10_1A: Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Acres)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2101 /-] [Invalid=8941 /-] [Mean=1.834 /-] [StdDev=7.222 /-]

#139 Q52_10_1B: Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Rood)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4771 /-] [Invalid=6271 /-] [Mean=1.901 /-] [StdDev=0.904 /-]

#140 Q52_10_1C: Total of all tea parcels P1+P2 (Perches)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1791 /-] [Invalid=9251 /-] [Mean=20.647 /-] [StdDev=11.013 /-]

#141 Q52_10_9: Grand total Annual harvest P1+P2 Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-17000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3652 /-] [Invalid=7390 /-] [Mean=2912.194 /-] [StdDev=4713.532 /-]

#142 IMAGEADDRESS: IMAGE ADDRESS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File T2

#1 B1: B1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#2 T2: Part of Questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		11042	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 SERIAL_NO: Serial No

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 62-325098] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=72710.949 /-] [StdDev=47142.76 /-]

#4 Q1_1: District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-92] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Kalutara	893	8.1%
21	Kandy	672	6.1%
22	Matale	89	0.8%
23	Nuwara Eliya	873	7.9%
31	Galle	1939	17.6%
32	Matara	1775	16.1%
81	Badulla	1545	14.0%
91	Ratnapura	1991	18.0%
92	Kegalle	1265	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 Q1_2: DS Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-42] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23.853 /-] [StdDev=14.088 /-]

#6 Q1_3: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File T2 (cont.)

#6 Q1_3: Village (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	--------------------------------

Frequency table not shown (38 Modalities)

#7 Q1_4: GN Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-275] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=124.337 /-] [StdDev=96.461 /-]
--------------------	--

#8 Q1_5: Parcel Serial Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-337] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10946 /-] [Invalid=96 /-] [Mean=54.744 /-] [StdDev=37.439 /-]
--------------------	--

#9 Q61A_1: P1 Mature VP Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9483 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-] [Mean=5.596 /-] [StdDev=3.102 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	2270	24.0%
2	VP/UM	272	2.9%
3	VP/Uva	549	5.8%
4	ST/LC	49	0.5%
5	ST/UM	15	0.2%
6	ST/Uva	128	1.4%
7	U709	3038	32.1%
8	Other	2240	23.6%
9	Unspecified	831	8.8%
10	Unknown	82	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#10 Q61A_2: P1 Mature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7205] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9614 /-] [Invalid=1428 /-] [Mean=132.16 /-] [StdDev=223.174 /-]
--------------------	--

#11 Q61A_3: P1 Mature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9421 /-] [Invalid=1621 /-] [Mean=3.043 /-] [StdDev=1.154 /-]
--------------------	---

File T2 (cont.)

#12 Q61A_4: P1 Mature VP Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2697 /-] [Invalid=8345 /-] [Mean=3.513 /-] [StdDev=1.33 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen	43	1.6%
2	Diuron	53	2.0%
3	Glyphos	1986	73.6%
4	Paraquot	312	11.6%
5	Gluphosinate ammonium	10	0.4%
6	M.C.P.A	18	0.7%
7	2.4 D	245	9.1%
8	Other	24	0.9%
9	Unspecified	6	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q61A_5: P1 Mature VP Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2610 /-] [Invalid=8432 /-] [Mean=1.764 /-] [StdDev=0.966 /-]		

#14 Q61A_6: P1 Mature VP Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=10872 /-] [Mean=4.771 /-] [StdDev=3.229 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon	28	16.5%
2	Triclopone	11	6.5%
3	Clopluozuron	26	15.3%
4	Dicinone	42	24.7%
5	Clopyriphos	21	12.4%
6	Carberil	2	1.2%
7	Dimicoate	3	1.8%
8	Fentheon	7	4.1%
9	Propagite	6	3.5%
10	Quinomithinoate	1	0.6%
11	Sulpher	19	11.2%
12	Carbosulphan	4	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File T2 (cont.)

#15 Q61A_7: P1 Mature VP Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=180 /-] [Invalid=10862 /-] [Mean=1.756 /-] [StdDev=0.882 /-]

#16 Q61A_8: P1 Mature VP Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=477 /-] [Invalid=10565 /-] [Mean=1.105 /-] [StdDev=0.469 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength	436	91.8%
2	Generic Fungicides	38	8.0%
9	Other	1	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#17 Q61A_9: P1 Mature VP Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=487 /-] [Invalid=10555 /-] [Mean=2.117 /-] [StdDev=1.324 /-]

#18 Q62A_1: P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=566 /-] [Invalid=10476 /-] [Mean=5.154 /-] [StdDev=2.597 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	93	16.4%
2	VP/UM	24	4.2%
3	VP/Uva	70	12.4%
4	ST/LC	3	0.5%
5	ST/UM	100	17.7%
6	ST/Uva	29	5.1%
7	U709	128	22.6%
8	Other	87	15.4%
9	Unspecified	32	5.7%
10	Unknown	0	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#19 Q62A_2: P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=570 /-] [Invalid=10472 /-] [Mean=113.198 /-] [StdDev=220.207 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#20 Q62A_3: P1 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=564 -] [Invalid=10478 -] [Mean=1.934 -] [StdDev=0.952 -]

#21 Q62A_4: P1 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=199 -] [Invalid=10843 -] [Mean=3.497 -] [StdDev=1.234 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen	5	2.5%
2	Diuron	3	1.5%
3	Glyphos	133	66.8%
4	Paraquot	39	19.6%
5	Gluphosinate ammonium	2	1.0%
6	M.C.P.A	0	0.0%
7	2.4 D	16	8.0%
8	Other	1	0.5%
9	Unspecified	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#22 Q62A_5: P1 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=190 -] [Invalid=10852 -] [Mean=1.689 -] [StdDev=0.905 -]

#23 Q62A_6: P1 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 -] [Invalid=11028 -] [Mean=4.571 -] [StdDev=2.027 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon	1	7.1%
2	Triclopone	0	0.0%
3	Clopluozuron	2	14.3%
4	Dicinone	6	42.9%
5	Clopyriphos	2	14.3%
6	Carberil	1	7.1%
7	Dimicoate	0	0.0%
8	Fentheon	1	7.1%
9	Propagite	1	7.1%
10	Quinomithinoate	0	0.0%

File T2 (cont.)

#23 Q62A_6: P1 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Sulpher	0	0.0%
12	Carbosulphan	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#24 Q62A_7: P1 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=11031 /-] [Mean=1.182 /-] [StdDev=0.405 /-]

#25 Q62A_8: P1 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45 /-] [Invalid=10997 /-] [Mean=1.222 /-] [StdDev=1.204 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength	42	93.3%
2	Generic Fungicides	2	4.4%
9	Other	1	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#26 Q62A_9: P1 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=10998 /-] [Mean=1.886 /-] [StdDev=0.784 /-]

#27 Q63A_1: P1 Immature VP Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=374 /-] [Invalid=10668 /-] [Mean=7.727 /-] [StdDev=2.673 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	40	10.7%
2	VP/UM	7	1.9%
3	VP/Uva	4	1.1%
4	ST/LC	1	0.3%
5	ST/UM	1	0.3%
6	ST/Uva	2	0.5%
7	U709	30	8.0%
8	Other	26	7.0%
9	Unspecified	245	65.5%
10	Unknown	18	4.8%

File T2 (cont.)

#27 Q63A_1: P1 Immature VP Fertilizer (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#28 Q63A_2: P1 Immature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-150] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=383 /-] [Invalid=10659 /-] [Mean=73.384 /-] [StdDev=102.164 /-]

#29 Q63A_3: P1 Immature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 /-] [Invalid=10685 /-] [Mean=3.02 /-] [StdDev=1.257 /-]

#30 Q63A_4: P1 Immature VP Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24 /-] [Invalid=11018 /-] [Mean=4 /-] [StdDev=1.978 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen	2	8.3%
2	Diuron	0	0.0%
3	Glyphos	14	58.3%
4	Paraquot	1	4.2%
5	Gluphosinate ammonium	0	0.0%
6	M.C.P.A	1	4.2%
7	2.4 D	6	25.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
9	Unspecified	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#31 Q63A_5: P1 Immature VP Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=11019 /-] [Mean=1.696 /-] [StdDev=0.822 /-]

#32 Q63A_6: P1 Immature VP Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=11035 /-] [Mean=5.429 /-] [StdDev=3.505 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon	1	14.3%
2	Triclopone	1	14.3%

File T2 (cont.)

#32 Q63A_6: P1 Immature VP Insecticides applied (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Clopluozuron	0	0.0%
4	Dicinone	1	14.3%
5	Clopyriphos	1	14.3%
6	Carberil	0	0.0%
7	Dimicoate	1	14.3%
8	Fentheon	1	14.3%
9	Propagite	0	0.0%
10	Quinomithinoate	0	0.0%
11	Sulpher	1	14.3%
12	Carbosulphan	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#33 Q63A_7: P1 Immature VP Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=11036 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=1.265 /-]

#34 Q63A_8: P1 Immature VP Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 /-] [Invalid=11025 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength	17	100.0%
2	Generic Fungicides	0	0.0%
9	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#35 Q63A_9: P1 Immature VP Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=1.875 /-] [StdDev=1.088 /-]

#36 Q64A_1: P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24 /-] [Invalid=11018 /-] [Mean=7.083 /-] [StdDev=3.599 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#37 Q64A_2: P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25-60] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28 -] [Invalid=11014 -] [Mean=64.679 -] [StdDev=74.788 -]

#38 Q64A_3: P1 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25 -] [Invalid=11017 -] [Mean=2.6 -] [StdDev=1.354 -]

#39 Q61B_1: P2 Mature VP Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=120 -] [Invalid=10922 -] [Mean=6.075 -] [StdDev=3.03 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	28	23.3%
2	VP/UM	2	1.7%
3	VP/Uva	1	0.8%
4	ST/LC	0	0.0%
5	ST/UM	0	0.0%
6	ST/Uva	0	0.0%
7	U709	41	34.2%
8	Other	27	22.5%
9	Unspecified	19	15.8%
10	Unknown	2	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#40 Q61B_2: P2 Mature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-120] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=127 -] [Invalid=10915 -] [Mean=132.008 -] [StdDev=116.869 -]

#41 Q61B_3: P2 Mature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=123 -] [Invalid=10919 -] [Mean=3.211 -] [StdDev=0.934 -]

#42 Q61B_4: P2 Mature VP Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57 -] [Invalid=10985 -] [Mean=3.439 -] [StdDev=0.887 -]

File T2 (cont.)

#42 Q61B_4: P2 Mature VP Weedisides applied (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen	0	0.0%
2	Diuron	0	0.0%
3	Glyphos	40	70.2%
4	Paraquot	14	24.6%
5	Gluphosinate ammonium	0	0.0%
6	M.C.P.A	1	1.8%
7	2.4 D	2	3.5%
8	Other	0	0.0%
9	Unspecified	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#43 Q61B_5: P2 Mature VP Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=10986 /-] [Mean=1.607 /-] [StdDev=0.867 /-]

#44 Q61B_6: P2 Mature VP Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon	3	100.0%
2	Triclopone	0	0.0%
3	Clopluozuron	0	0.0%
4	Dicinone	0	0.0%
5	Clopyriphos	0	0.0%
6	Carberil	0	0.0%
7	Dimicoate	0	0.0%
8	Fentheon	0	0.0%
9	Propagite	0	0.0%
10	Quinomithinoate	0	0.0%
11	Sulpher	0	0.0%
12	Carbosulphan	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#45 Q61B_7: P2 Mature VP Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#46 Q61B_8: P2 Mature VP Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength	3	100.0%
2	Generic Fungicides	0	0.0%
9	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#47 Q61B_9: P2 Mature VP Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4 /-] [Invalid=11038 /-] [Mean=3.5 /-] [StdDev=3.109 /-]

#48 Q62B_1: P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4 /-] [Invalid=11038 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=1.155 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	2	50.0%
2	VP/UM	0	0.0%
3	VP/Uva	2	50.0%
4	ST/LC	0	0.0%
5	ST/UM	0	0.0%
6	ST/Uva	0	0.0%
7	U709	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
9	Unspecified	0	0.0%
10	Unknown	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#49 Q62B_2: P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25-200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=11037 /-] [Mean=95 /-] [StdDev=69.372 /-]

#50 Q62B_3: P2 Mature Seedling Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=11037 /-] [Mean=2.6 /-] [StdDev=0.548 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#51 Q62B_4: P2 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=3 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen	0	0.0%
2	Diuron	0	0.0%
3	Glyphos	3	100.0%
4	Paraquot	0	0.0%
5	Gluphosinate ammonium	0	0.0%
6	M.C.P.A	0	0.0%
7	2.4 D	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
9	Unspecified	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#52 Q62B_5: P2 Mature Seedling Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		

#53 Q62B_6: P2 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=1 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon	1	100.0%
2	Triclopone	0	0.0%
3	Clopluozuron	0	0.0%
4	Dicinone	0	0.0%
5	Clopyriphos	0	0.0%
6	Carberil	0	0.0%
7	Dimicoate	0	0.0%
8	Fentheon	0	0.0%
9	Propagite	0	0.0%
10	Quinomithinoate	0	0.0%
11	Sulpher	0	0.0%
12	Carbosulphan	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File T2 (cont.)

#54 Q62B_7: P2 Mature Seedling Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#55 Q62B_8: P2 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength		
2	Generic Fungicides		
9	Other		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#56 Q62B_9: P2 Mature Seedling Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#57 Q63B_1: P2 Immature VP Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=7.438 /-] [StdDev=3.346 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VP/LC	2	12.5%
2	VP/UM	1	6.3%
3	VP/Uva	0	0.0%
4	ST/LC	1	6.3%
5	ST/UM	0	0.0%
6	ST/Uva	0	0.0%
7	U709	0	0.0%
8	Other	1	6.3%
9	Unspecified	7	43.8%
10	Unknown	4	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#58 Q63B_2: P2 Immature VP Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=42.188 /-] [StdDev=28.981 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#59 Q63B_3: P2 Immature VP Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=11027 /-] [Mean=2.667 /-] [StdDev=0.816 /-]

#60 Q63B_4: P2 Immature VP Weedisides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oxyflourofen		
2	Diuron		
3	Glyphos		
4	Paraquot		
5	Gluphosinate ammonium		
6	M.C.P.A		
7	2.4 D		
8	Other		
9	Unspecified		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#61 Q63B_5: P2 Immature VP Weedisides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#62 Q63B_6: P2 Immature VP Insecticides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pentheon		
2	Triclopone		
3	Clopluozuron		
4	Dicinone		
5	Clopyriphos		
6	Carberil		
7	Dimicoate		
8	Fentheon		
9	Propagite		
10	Quinomithinoate		

File T2 (cont.)

#62 Q63B_6: P2 Immature VP Insecticides applied (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Sulpher		
12	Carbosulphan		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#63 Q63B_7: P2 Immature VP Insecticides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#64 Q63B_8: P2 Immature VP Fungicides applied

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fungicides with 50% strength		
2	Generic Fungicides		
9	Other		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#65 Q63B_9: P2 Immature VP Fungicides applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#66 Q64B_1: P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=11041 /-] [Mean=1 /-]

#67 Q64B_2: P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied Amount per turn Kg

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=38 /-] [StdDev=52.326 /-]

#68 Q64B_3: P2 Land prepared for tea cultivation under growing grass Fertilizer applied No of turns

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#69 Q71_1: No of plucking turns (days)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10395 /-] [Invalid=647 /-] [Mean=2.557 /-] [StdDev=0.784 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	5 - 6 days	247	2.4%
2	7 days	5783	55.6%
3	8 - 10 days	2697	25.9%
4	More than 8 days	1668	16.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#70 Q72_1: Reason 1 for plucking after 7 days

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=391 /-] [Invalid=10651 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Scarcity of labour	391	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#71 Q72_2: Reason 2 for plucking after 7 days

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4064 /-] [Invalid=6978 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Under harvest	4064	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#72 Q72_3: Reason 3 for plucking after 7 days

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10 /-] [Invalid=11032 /-] [Mean=3 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Absentism of pluckers	10	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#73 Q72_4: Reason 4 for plucking after 7 days

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=197 /-] [Invalid=10845 /-] [Mean=4 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Other	197	100.0%

File T2 (cont.)

#73 Q72_4: Reason 4 for plucking after 7 days (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#74 Q73_1: Plucking method

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10395 /-] [Invalid=647 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.0392 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Manual	10388	99.9%
2	Leaf cutter	4	0.0%
3	Both	3	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#75 Q74_1: Container used for gree leaf 1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10374 /-] [Invalid=668 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bag	10374	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#76 Q74_2: Container used for gree leaf 2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=11009 /-] [Mean=2 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Bamboo basket	33	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#77 Q74_3: Container used for gree leaf 3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=11039 /-] [Mean=3 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Plastic basket	3	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#78 Q74_4: Container used for gree leaf 4

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=10974 /-] [Mean=4 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		

File T2 (cont.)

#78 Q74_4: Container used for gree leaf 4 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Nylon basket	68	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#79 Q75_1_1: Plastic basket - refrain from

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3989 /-] [Invalid=7053 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	3989	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#80 Q75_1_2: Nylon basket- refrain from

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3422 /-] [Invalid=7620 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#81 Q75_1_3: Leaf cutter- refrain from

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3296 /-] [Invalid=7746 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#82 Q75_2_1: Plastic basket - expensive

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2342 /-] [Invalid=8700 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#83 Q75_2_2: Nylon basket- expensive

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2295 /-] [Invalid=8747 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

File T2 (cont.)

#84 Q75_2_3: Leaf cutter- expensive

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2410 /-] [Invalid=8632 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#85 Q75_3_1: Plastic basket - Usage unfamilier

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2129 /-] [Invalid=8913 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#86 Q75_3_2: Nylon basket - Usage unfamilier

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2173 /-] [Invalid=8869 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#87 Q75_3_3: Leaf cutter - Usage unfamilier

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3565 /-] [Invalid=7477 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#88 Q75_4_1: Plastic basket - No place to purchase

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2942 /-] [Invalid=8100 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#89 Q75_4_2: Nylon basket - No place to purchase

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2872 /-] [Invalid=8170 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#90 Q75_4_3: Leaf cutter - No place to purchase

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2711 /-] [Invalid=8331 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

File T2 (cont.)

#91 Q75_5_1: Plastic basket - other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1693 /-] [Invalid=9349 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#92 Q75_5_2: Nylon basket - other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1647 /-] [Invalid=9395 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#93 Q75_5_3: Leaf cutter - other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=9417 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	Reasons for not using the container

#94 Q81_1: Pruning frequency

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10087 /-] [Invalid=955 /-] [Mean=2.493 /-] [StdDev=0.982 /-]		
Literal question	8.1 What is the frequency of pruning of this land in a year		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Once in 2 years	1577	15.6%
2	Once in 3 years	3985	39.5%
3	Once in 4 years	2496	24.7%
4	Once in 5 years	2029	20.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#95 Q82_1: Pruning month in the year

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10096 /-] [Invalid=946 /-] [Mean=6.429 /-] [StdDev=2.253 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jan	107	1.1%
2	Feb	47	0.5%
3	Mar	404	4.0%
4	Apr	621	6.2%
5	May	3704	36.7%
6	Jun	1138	11.3%

File T2 (cont.)

#95 Q82_1: Pruning month in the year (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Jul	534	5.3%
8	Aug	2062	20.4%
9	Sep	447	4.4%
10	Oct	310	3.1%
11	Nov	356	3.5%
12	Dec	366	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#96 Q83_1: Pruning height (inches)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9980 /-] [Invalid=1062 /-] [Mean=1.87 /-] [StdDev=0.445 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10 - 16	1721	17.2%
2	16 - 22	7832	78.5%
3	22 - 28	427	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#97 Q84_1: Pruning style

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=1070 /-] [Mean=1.932 /-] [StdDev=0.396 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full pruning	1144	11.5%
2	Half pruning	8359	83.8%
3	Table pruning	469	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#98 Q91_1: Man Days female for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2989] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9238 /-] [Invalid=1804 /-] [Mean=2.918 /-] [StdDev=4.454 /-]		
Literal question	9 Utilization of labour Manner in which labour was utilized for the cultivation work of the land in year 2006		

#99 Q91_2: Man Days male for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-734] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

File T2 (cont.)

#99 Q91_2: Man Days male for one plucking round (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3058 /-] [Invalid=7984 /-] [Mean=2.509 /-] [StdDev=3.56 /-]
--------------------	--

#100 Q91_3: Hired labour 100% women one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-514] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3366 /-] [Invalid=7676 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.0517 /-]
--------------------	--

#101 Q91_4: Hired labour 100% men one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-82] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=651 /-] [Invalid=10391 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#102 Q91_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-238] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=958 /-] [Invalid=10084 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#103 Q91_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=268 /-] [Invalid=10774 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#104 Q91_7: Family labour 50% women for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-150] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=710 /-] [Invalid=10332 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#105 Q91_8: Family labour 50% men for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-72] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=297 /-] [Invalid=10745 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#106 Q91_9: Family labour 100% women for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-562] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=6126 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.0428 /-]
--------------------	--

#107 Q91_10: Family labour 100% men for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#107 Q91_10: Family labour 100% men for one plucking round (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 -/] [Invalid=8864 -/] [Mean=1 -/] [StdDev=0 -/]
--------------------	---

#108 Q91_11: Wages per day women for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25-600] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4678 -/] [Invalid=6364 -/] [Mean=245.121 -/] [StdDev=66.805 -/]
--------------------	--

#109 Q91_12: Wages per day men for one plucking round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-450] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1093 -/] [Invalid=9949 -/] [Mean=276.536 -/] [StdDev=72.42 -/]
--------------------	---

#110 Q92_1: Man Days female for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=369 -/] [Invalid=10673 -/] [Mean=1.93 -/] [StdDev=2.545 -/]
--------------------	--

#111 Q92_2: Man Days male for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-75] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8183 -/] [Invalid=2859 -/] [Mean=3.602 -/] [StdDev=5.083 -/]
--------------------	---

#112 Q92_3: Hired labour 100% women for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=107 -/] [Invalid=10935 -/] [Mean=1 -/] [StdDev=0 -/]
--------------------	---

#113 Q92_4: Hired labour 100% men for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5381 -/] [Invalid=5661 -/] [Mean=1 -/] [StdDev=0.0136 -/]
--------------------	--

#114 Q92_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37 -/] [Invalid=11005 -/] [Mean=1 -/] [StdDev=0 -/]
--------------------	--

#115 Q92_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#115 Q92_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for one pruning round (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=436 /-] [Invalid=10606 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#116 Q92_7: Family labour 50% women for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=48 /-] [Invalid=10994 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#117 Q92_8: Family labour 50% men for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=271 /-] [Invalid=10771 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#118 Q92_9: Family labour 100% women for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=341 /-] [Invalid=10701 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#119 Q92_10: Family labour 100% men for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2597 /-] [Invalid=8445 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#120 Q92_11: Wages per day women for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-400] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=137 /-] [Invalid=10905 /-] [Mean=365.358 /-] [StdDev=209.971 /-]
--------------------	---

#121 Q92_12: Wages per day men for one pruning round

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-975] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6174 /-] [Invalid=4868 /-] [Mean=457.282 /-] [StdDev=144.645 /-]
--------------------	---

#122 Q93_1: Man Days female for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5261 /-] [Invalid=5781 /-] [Mean=4.192 /-] [StdDev=5.282 /-]
--------------------	---

#123 Q93_2: Man Days male for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File T2 (cont.)

#123 Q93_2: Man Days male for weeding (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6477 /-] [Invalid=4565 /-] [Mean=4.845 /-] [StdDev=5.746 /-]
--------------------	---

#124 Q93_3: Hired labour 100% women for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1284 /-] [Invalid=9758 /-] [Mean=1.003 /-] [StdDev=0.112 /-]
--------------------	---

#125 Q93_4: Hired labour 100% men for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1942 /-] [Invalid=9100 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#126 Q93_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=384 /-] [Invalid=10658 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#127 Q93_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=10615 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#128 Q93_7: Family labour 50% women for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=433 /-] [Invalid=10609 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#129 Q93_8: Family labour 50% men for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=440 /-] [Invalid=10602 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#130 Q93_9: Family labour 100% women for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3664 /-] [Invalid=7378 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0165 /-]
--------------------	--

#131 Q93_10: Family labour 100% men for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#131 Q93_10: Family labour 100% men for weeding (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4136 /-] [Invalid=6906 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0155 /-]
--------------------	--

#132 Q93_11: Wages per day women for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-400] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1827 /-] [Invalid=9215 /-] [Mean=269.487 /-] [StdDev=98.254 /-]
--------------------	--

#133 Q93_12: Wages per day men for weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-500] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2638 /-] [Invalid=8404 /-] [Mean=335.622 /-] [StdDev=112.126 /-]
--------------------	---

#134 Q94_1: Man Days female for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1579 /-] [Invalid=9463 /-] [Mean=1.519 /-] [StdDev=2.97 /-]
--------------------	--

#135 Q94_2: Man Days male for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8081 /-] [Invalid=2961 /-] [Mean=1.563 /-] [StdDev=1.722 /-]
--------------------	---

#136 Q94_3: Hired labour 100% women for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=287 /-] [Invalid=10755 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#137 Q94_4: Hired labour 100% men for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=8790 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#138 Q94_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53 /-] [Invalid=10989 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#139 Q94_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#139 Q94_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for Fertilizing (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=294 /-] [Invalid=10748 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#140 Q94_7: Family labour 50% women for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=103 /-] [Invalid=10939 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#141 Q94_8: Family labour 50% men for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=10684 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#142 Q94_9: Family labour 100% women for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1530 /-] [Invalid=9512 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#143 Q94_10: Family labour 100% men for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5586 /-] [Invalid=5456 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#144 Q94_11: Wages per day women for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-400] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=329 /-] [Invalid=10713 /-] [Mean=271.951 /-] [StdDev=97.441 /-]
--------------------	--

#145 Q94_12: Wages per day men for Fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-900] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2738 /-] [Invalid=8304 /-] [Mean=347.243 /-] [StdDev=96.353 /-]
--------------------	--

#146 Q95_1: Man Days female for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=131 /-] [Invalid=10911 /-] [Mean=1.183 /-] [StdDev=0.752 /-]
--------------------	---

#147 Q95_2: Man Days male for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-40] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File T2 (cont.)

#147 Q95_2: Man Days male for chemicals (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2731 /-] [Invalid=8311 /-] [Mean=1.539 /-] [StdDev=1.894 /-]
--------------------	---

#148 Q95_3: Hired labour 100% women for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39 /-] [Invalid=11003 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#149 Q95_4: Hired labour 100% men for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1254 /-] [Invalid=9788 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#150 Q95_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=11036 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#151 Q95_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=10901 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#152 Q95_7: Family labour 50% women for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=11028 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#153 Q95_8: Family labour 50% men for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122 /-] [Invalid=10920 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#154 Q95_9: Family labour 100% women for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=257 /-] [Invalid=10785 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#155 Q95_10: Family labour 100% men for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#155 Q95_10: Family labour 100% men for chemicals (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1465 /-] [Invalid=9577 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#156 Q95_11: Wages per day women for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-250] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39 /-] [Invalid=11003 /-] [Mean=305.103 /-] [StdDev=145.894 /-]
--------------------	--

#157 Q95_12: Wages per day men for chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-500] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1497 /-] [Invalid=9545 /-] [Mean=386.693 /-] [StdDev=124.557 /-]
--------------------	---

#158 Q96_1: Man Days female for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=409 /-] [Invalid=10633 /-] [Mean=1.416 /-] [StdDev=1.767 /-]
--------------------	---

#159 Q96_2: Man Days male for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5729 /-] [Invalid=5313 /-] [Mean=1.717 /-] [StdDev=2.23 /-]
--------------------	--

#160 Q96_3: Hired labour 100% women for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=10980 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#161 Q96_4: Hired labour 100% men for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1645 /-] [Invalid=9397 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#162 Q96_5: Hired labour more than 50% women for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8 /-] [Invalid=11034 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#163 Q96_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#163 Q96_6: Hired labour more than 50% men for shade pruning (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=212 /-] [Invalid=10830 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#164 Q96_7: Family labour 50% women for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=11012 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#165 Q96_8: Family labour 50% men for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=241 /-] [Invalid=10801 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#166 Q96_9: Family labour 100% women for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=529 /-] [Invalid=10513 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#167 Q96_10: Family labour 100% men for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3987 /-] [Invalid=7055 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#168 Q96_11: Wages per day women for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-300] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=66 /-] [Invalid=10976 /-] [Mean=283.561 /-] [StdDev=158.564 /-]
--------------------	--

#169 Q96_12: Wages per day men for shade pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-500] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2023 /-] [Invalid=9019 /-] [Mean=357.938 /-] [StdDev=116.007 /-]
--------------------	---

#170 Q97_1: Man Days female other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=10868 /-] [Mean=1.954 /-] [StdDev=2.109 /-]
--------------------	---

#171 Q97_2: Man Days male other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-30] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File T2 (cont.)

#171 Q97_2: Man Days male other (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=882 /-] [Invalid=10160 /-] [Mean=2.533 /-] [StdDev=2.749 /-]
--------------------	---

#172 Q97_3: Hired labour 100% women other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43 /-] [Invalid=10999 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#173 Q97_4: Hired labour 100% men other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=270 /-] [Invalid=10772 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#174 Q97_5: Hired labour more than 50% women other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=11028 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#175 Q97_6: Hired labour more than 50% men other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42 /-] [Invalid=11000 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#176 Q97_7: Family labour 50% women other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=11026 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#177 Q97_8: Family labour 50% men other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53 /-] [Invalid=10989 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	--

#178 Q97_9: Family labour 100% women other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=212 /-] [Invalid=10830 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#179 Q97_10: Family labour 100% men other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T2 (cont.)

#179 Q97_10: Family labour 100% men other (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=633 /-] [Invalid=10409 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

#180 Q97_11: Wages per day women other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 200-300] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51 /-] [Invalid=10991 /-] [Mean=279.922 /-] [StdDev=146.797 /-]
--------------------	--

#181 Q97_12: Wages per day men other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-400] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=387 /-] [Invalid=10655 /-] [Mean=346.059 /-] [StdDev=137.783 /-]
--------------------	---

#182 Q91_A1: Whether there is a labour shortage for activities of the land?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10415 /-] [Invalid=627 /-] [Mean=1.784 /-] [StdDev=0.412 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2253	21.6%
2	No	8162	78.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#183 Q92_A1: Labour shortage for Plucking

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1760 /-] [Invalid=9282 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Plucking	1760	100.0%
2	Pruning	0	0.0%
3	Weeding	0	0.0%
4	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#184 Q92_A2: Labour shortage for Pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=661 /-] [Invalid=10381 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
--------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Plucking	661	100.0%
2	Pruning	0	0.0%
3	Weeding	0	0.0%

File T2 (cont.)

#184 Q92_A2: Labour shortage for Pruning (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#185 Q92_A3: Labour shortage for Weeding

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1108 /-] [Invalid=9934 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Plucking	1108	100.0%
2	Pruning	0	0.0%
3	Weeding	0	0.0%
4	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#186 Q92_A4: Labour shortage for Other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=259 /-] [Invalid=10783 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Plucking	259	100.0%
2	Pruning	0	0.0%
3	Weeding	0	0.0%
4	Other	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#187 Q101_1: Have you taken subsidies for the activities of the land?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10139 /-] [Invalid=903 /-] [Mean=1.771 /-] [StdDev=0.42 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2321	22.9%
2	No	7818	77.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#188 Q102_1: Year 1 subsidies obtained

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1900-3007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2210 /-] [Invalid=8832 /-] [Mean=1998.876 /-] [StdDev=67.629 /-]

File T2 (cont.)

#189 Q102_2: Year 2 subsidies obtained

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1980-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=395 /-] [Invalid=10647 /-] [Mean=1992.6 /-] [StdDev=142.352 /-]

#190 Q102_3: Year 3 subsidies obtained

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1986-2007] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=149 /-] [Invalid=10893 /-] [Mean=1975.369 /-] [StdDev=231.171 /-]

#191 Q103_1: Were there problems in obtaining subsidies?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2919 /-] [Invalid=8123 /-] [Mean=1.909 /-] [StdDev=0.287 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	265	9.1%
2	No	2654	90.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#192 Q104_1: Problem 1 of obtaining subsidies

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=153 /-] [Invalid=10889 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Official delays	153	100.0%
2	Delays in the field	0	0.0%
3	Other	0	0.0%
4	Not defined 1	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#193 Q104_2: Problem 2 of obtaining subsidies

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=10980 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Official delays	62	100.0%
2	Delays in the field	0	0.0%
3	Other	0	0.0%
4	Not defined 1	0	0.0%
6	Not defined 2	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File T2 (cont.)

#194 Q104_3: Problem 3 of obtaining subsidies

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=117 /-] [Invalid=10925 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Official delays	117	100.0%
2	Delays in the field	0	0.0%
3	Other	0	0.0%
4	Not defined 1	0	0.0%
6	Not defined 2	0	0.0%
15	Not defined 3	0	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#195 ImageAddress: Image Address

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File T3

#1 B1: B1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=11042 /-]

#2 T3: Part of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		11042	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 SERIAL_NO: Serial No

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 62-325098] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=72710.949 /-] [StdDev=47142.76 /-]		

File T3 (cont.)

#4 Q1_1: District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Kalutara	893	8.1%
21	Kandy	672	6.1%
22	Matale	89	0.8%
23	Nuwara Eliya	873	7.9%
31	Galle	1939	17.6%
32	Matara	1775	16.1%
81	Badulla	1545	14.0%
91	Ratnapura	1991	18.0%
92	Kegalle	1265	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 Q1_2: DS Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-42] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23.853 /-] [StdDev=14.088 /-]

#6 Q1_3: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Frequency table not shown (38 Modalities)	

#7 Q1_4: GN Division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-275] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=124.337 /-] [StdDev=96.461 /-]

#8 Q1_5: Parcel Serial Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-337] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10946 /-] [Invalid=96 /-] [Mean=54.744 /-] [StdDev=37.439 /-]

#9 Q105_1_1: Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45 /-] [Invalid=10997 /-] [Mean=1.267 /-] [StdDev=1.053 /-]

File T3 (cont.)

#9 Q105_1_1: Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2005 (cont.)

Literal question	Pl state the year and the land extent cultivated
------------------	--

#10 Q105_1_2: Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=103 /-] [Invalid=10939 /-] [Mean=1.864 /-] [StdDev=0.793 /-]

#11 Q105_1_3: Replants with subsidies (Perches) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-40] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=11013 /-] [Mean=18.793 /-] [StdDev=13.067 /-]

#12 Q105_1_4: Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=108 /-] [Invalid=10934 /-] [Mean=1.796 /-] [StdDev=1.679 /-]

#13 Q105_1_5: Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=188 /-] [Invalid=10854 /-] [Mean=1.761 /-] [StdDev=0.782 /-]

#14 Q105_1_6: Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-25] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57 /-] [Invalid=10985 /-] [Mean=20.246 /-] [StdDev=15.08 /-]

#15 Q105_1_7: New plantations (Acres) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=11012 /-] [Mean=1.333 /-] [StdDev=0.922 /-]

#16 Q105_1_8: New plantations (Rood) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=166 /-] [Invalid=10876 /-] [Mean=1.62 /-] [StdDev=0.675 /-]

#17 Q105_1_9: New plantations (Perches) 2005

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T3 (cont.)

#17 Q105_1_9: New plantations (Perches) 2005 (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95 -/] [Invalid=10947 -/] [Mean=16.526 -/] [StdDev=10.699 -/]
--------------------	--

#18 Q105_2_1: Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20 -/] [Invalid=11022 -/] [Mean=1.4 -/] [StdDev=0.94 -/]
--------------------	---

#19 Q105_2_2: Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55 -/] [Invalid=10987 -/] [Mean=1.709 -/] [StdDev=0.762 -/]
--------------------	--

#20 Q105_2_3: Replants with subsidies (Perches) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-36] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18 -/] [Invalid=11024 -/] [Mean=23.889 -/] [StdDev=14.377 -/]
--------------------	--

#21 Q105_2_4: Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9 -/] [Invalid=11033 -/] [Mean=0.889 -/] [StdDev=0.333 -/]
--------------------	---

#22 Q105_2_5: Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53 -/] [Invalid=10989 -/] [Mean=1.623 -/] [StdDev=1.197 -/]
--------------------	--

#23 Q105_2_6: Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34 -/] [Invalid=11008 -/] [Mean=18.676 -/] [StdDev=8.351 -/]
--------------------	---

#24 Q105_2_7: New plantations (Acres) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18 -/] [Invalid=11024 -/] [Mean=2.111 -/] [StdDev=3.428 -/]
--------------------	--

#25 Q105_2_8: New plantations (Rood) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T3 (cont.)

#25 Q105_2_8: New plantations (Rood) 2006 (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=109 /-] [Invalid=10933 /-] [Mean=1.459 /-] [StdDev=0.586 /-]
--------------------	---

#26 Q105_2_9: New plantations (Perches) 2006

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=83 /-] [Invalid=10959 /-] [Mean=18.084 /-] [StdDev=10.697 /-]
--------------------	--

#27 Q105_3_1: Replants with subsidies (Acres) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=11030 /-] [Mean=1.167 /-] [StdDev=0.577 /-]
--------------------	--

#28 Q105_3_2: Replants with subsidies (Rood) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50 /-] [Invalid=10992 /-] [Mean=1.46 /-] [StdDev=0.542 /-]
--------------------	---

#29 Q105_3_3: Replants with subsidies (Perches) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-40] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=11023 /-] [Mean=19.737 /-] [StdDev=14.11 /-]
--------------------	---

#30 Q105_3_4: Replants without subsidies (Acres) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=11040 /-] [Mean=1.5 /-] [StdDev=0.707 /-]
--------------------	---

#31 Q105_3_5: Replants without subsidies (Rood) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38 /-] [Invalid=11004 /-] [Mean=1.605 /-] [StdDev=0.547 /-]
--------------------	--

#32 Q105_3_6: Replants without subsidies (Perches) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36 /-] [Invalid=11006 /-] [Mean=16.25 /-] [StdDev=7.762 /-]
--------------------	--

#33 Q105_3_7: New plantations (Acres) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File T3 (cont.)

#33 Q105_3_7: New plantations (Acres) 2007 (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 -] [Invalid=11028 -] [Mean=1.643 -] [StdDev=2.134 -]
--------------------	--

#34 Q105_3_8: New plantations (Rood) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=97 -] [Invalid=10945 -] [Mean=1.474 -] [StdDev=0.579 -]
--------------------	--

#35 Q105_3_9: New plantations (Perches) 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-40] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85 -] [Invalid=10957 -] [Mean=17.518 -] [StdDev=9.757 -]
--------------------	---

#36 Q111_1: Training obtained

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10366 -] [Invalid=676 -] [Mean=1.632 -] [StdDev=0.482 -]
--------------------	---

Literal question	11 Promotional services 11.1 Did you obtain any training or advice for the cultivation activities of your land in the last year?
------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3811	36.8%
2	No	6555	63.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#37 Q112_1: How many times training obtained

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2385 -] [Invalid=8657 -] [Mean=2.405 -] [StdDev=2.27 -]
--------------------	--

Literal question	F11.2 If answer for 11.1 is yes how many times?
------------------	---

#38 Q113_1: Training obtained from TSHA officers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2678 -] [Invalid=8364 -] [Mean=1 -] [StdDev=0 -]
--------------------	---

Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
------------------	--

#39 Q113_2: Training obtained from nearest estate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=385 -] [Invalid=10657 -] [Mean=1 -] [StdDev=0 -]
--------------------	---

File T3 (cont.)

#39 Q113_2: Training obtained from nearest estate (cont.)

Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?
------------------	--

#40 Q113_3: Training obtained from borchers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=440 /-] [Invalid=10602 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?

#41 Q113_4: Training obtained from local organizations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1439 /-] [Invalid=9603 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?

#42 Q113_5: Training obtained from company

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=309 /-] [Invalid=10733 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?

#43 Q113_6: Training obtained from TRI

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=129 /-] [Invalid=10913 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?

#44 Q113_7: Training obtained from Other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92 /-] [Invalid=10950 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	11.3 if 11.1 's answer is 'Yes' from whom did you obtain training?

#45 Q12_1: Training on plucking

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2127 /-] [Invalid=8915 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Plucking	2127	100.0%

File T3 (cont.)

#45 Q12_1: Training on plucking (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#46 Q12_2: Training on fertilizing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1837 /-] [Invalid=9205 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fertilizing	1837	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#47 Q12_3: Training on pruning

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2549 /-] [Invalid=8493 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pruning	2549	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#48 Q12_4: Training on nurseries

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2965 /-] [Invalid=8077 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nurseries	2965	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#49 Q12_5: Training on chemicals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=999 /-] [Invalid=10043 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chemicals	999	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File T3 (cont.)

#50 Q12_6: Training on land upkeep

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4730 /-] [Invalid=6312 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Land upkeep	4730	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#51 Q12_7: Training on other

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=731 /-] [Invalid=10311 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	12. In your cultivation activities what is the subject on which training is needed most?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other	731	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#52 Q121_1: Keep records

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10189 /-] [Invalid=853 /-] [Mean=1.874 /-] [StdDev=0.332 /-]		
Literal question	12.1 Do you keep records on fertilizer, chemicals, labour utilization, expenses, harvest (except green leaf book) in your tea holding		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1282	12.6%
2	No	8907	87.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#53 Q122_2: Willingness to keep a hand book

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9007 /-] [Invalid=2035 /-] [Mean=1.264 /-] [StdDev=0.502 /-]		
Literal question	12.2 If you don't keep records, please indicate your willingness to maintain a hand book		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not much	6889	76.5%
2	Not aware of it	1860	20.7%
3	Inability	258	2.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

File T3 (cont.)

#54 Q131_1: Residing in

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10679 /-] [Invalid=363 /-] [Mean=1.479 /-] [StdDev=0.604 /-]		
Literal question	13.1 You are residing in :		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tea holding	6173	57.8%
2	In the nearest village	3892	36.4%
3	Away /different area	614	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#55 Q132_1: House

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10573 /-] [Invalid=469 /-] [Mean=1.133 /-] [StdDev=0.413 /-]		
Literal question	13.2 House : Type of house		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Permenant	9460	89.5%
2	Semi Permenant	820	7.8%
3	Temporary	293	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#56 Q133_1: Electricity available

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10586 /-] [Invalid=456 /-] [Mean=1.169 /-] [StdDev=0.375 /-]		
Literal question	13.3 Lighting : Do you have electricity		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8793	83.1%
2	No	1793	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#57 Q134_1: Transport facilities car

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=750 /-] [Invalid=10292 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Motor car	750	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File T3 (cont.)

#58 Q134_2: Transport facilities M/cycle

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2073 /-] [Invalid=8969 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Motor cycle	2073	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#59 Q134_3: Transport facilities tractor

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=147 /-] [Invalid=10895 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tractor	147	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#60 Q134_4: Transport facilities cycle

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1425 /-] [Invalid=9617 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.4 Transport facilities owned by your family:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bicycle	1425	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#61 Q135_1: Communication : Have telephone?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9897 /-] [Invalid=1145 /-] [Mean=1.385 /-] [StdDev=0.487 /-]		
Pre-question	13.5 Communication : Do you have Telephone facilities?		
Literal question	13.5 Communication : Do you have Telephone facilities?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6086	61.5%
2	No	3811	38.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#62 Q136_1: Audio Visual: TV

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
-------------	--	--	--

File T3 (cont.)

#62 Q136_1: Audio Visual: TV (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8599 /-] [Invalid=2443 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.6 Audio visual medium : TV		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8599	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#63 Q136_2: Audio Visual : Radio

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6201 /-] [Invalid=4841 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.0127 /-]		
Literal question	13.6 Audio visual medium : Radio		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6200	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#64 Q136_3: Audio Visual: Newspapers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1594 /-] [Invalid=9448 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.6 Audio visual medium : Newspapers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1594	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#65 Q137_1: Loans

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9538 /-] [Invalid=1504 /-] [Mean=1.941 /-] [StdDev=0.236 /-]		
Literal question	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	566	5.9%
2	No	8972	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#66 Q137_1_1: Development bank Loan

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=112 /-] [Invalid=10930 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation?		

File T3 (cont.)

#66 Q137_1_1: Development bank Loan (cont.)

13.7.1 Source of loan: Development bank / Indirect Capital Laoan System			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#67 Q137_1_2: Alternative means (loan)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=183 /-] [Invalid=10859 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation? 13.7.1 Source of loan: From other person or an alternative		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	183	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#68 Q137_1_3: Other comm Bank loan

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=352 /-] [Invalid=10690 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]		
Literal question	13.7 Have you obtained loans in the last five years to maintain the cultivation? 13.7.1 Source of loan: Other Commercial banks		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	352	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#69 ImageAddress: Image Address

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11042 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

Documentation

Reports and analytical documents.....	97
STSH08 Report Extracts.....	97
Questionnaires.....	97
STSH08 Questionnaire.....	97
Technical documents.....	97
Survey Instructions.....	97
Survey Calendar.....	97
Other documents.....	97
Code Lists.....	97
STSH08 Cover Page.....	97
Study Documentation of STSH08 Project.....	97

Reports and analytical documents

STSH08 Report Extracts, "Documentation\3_Body.doc"

Questionnaires

STSH08 Questionnaire, "Documentation\Annexure_1.doc"

Technical documents

Survey Instructions, "Documentation\Annexure_2.doc"

Survey Calendar, "Documentation\Annexure_3.doc"

Other documents

Code Lists, "Documentation\Annexure_4.doc"

STSH08 Cover Page

Study Documentation of STSH08 Project, "Documentation\Study Documentation of STSH08 Project.pdf"