

Sri Lanka

Department of Census and Statistics, Ministry of Finance and Planning

Labour Force Survey - 2010

Study Documentation

July 19, 2013

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) , Minstry of Finance & Planning , Data Collection
Production Date	July 30, 2011
Version	Version 1.0 (June 2011)
Identification	DDI-LKA-DCS-LFS-2010-v1.0

This document was generated using the [IHSN Microdata Management Toolkit](#)

Table of Contents

Overview.....	1
Scope & Coverage.....	1
Producers & Sponsors.....	2
Sampling.....	2
Data Collection.....	2
Data Processing & Appraisal.....	3
Accessibility.....	3
Rights & Disclaimer.....	5
Files Description.....	6
2010-Identification Information.....	6
2010-Personal Information.....	6
2010-Information on Labour Force.....	6
2010-Question 7 to 13.....	6
2010-Question 14 to 19.....	6
2010-Question 20 to 25.....	7
2010-Question 26 to 30.....	7
2010-Question 31 to 32.....	7
2010-Question 33 to 36.....	7
2010-Question 37to 42.....	8
2010-Question 43 to 46.....	8
2010-Question 47 to 50.....	8
Variables List.....	9
2010-Identification Information.....	9
2010-Personal Information.....	9
2010-Information on Labour Force.....	10
2010-Question 7 to 13.....	10
2010-Question 14 to 19.....	11
2010-Question 20 to 25.....	11
2010-Question 26 to 30.....	12
2010-Question 31 to 32.....	12
2010-Question 33 to 36.....	13
2010-Question 37to 42.....	14
2010-Question 43 to 46.....	14
2010-Question 47 to 50.....	15
Variables Description.....	17
2010-Identification Information.....	17
2010-Personal Information.....	22
2010-Information on Labour Force.....	29
2010-Question 7 to 13.....	35
2010-Question 14 to 19.....	40
2010-Question 20 to 25.....	45
2010-Question 26 to 30.....	49
2010-Question 31 to 32.....	54
2010-Question 33 to 36.....	61
2010-Question 37to 42.....	67
2010-Question 43 to 46.....	73

2010-Question 47 to 50	80
Documentation	86

Sri Lanka (2010)

Labour Force Survey - 2010 (LFS 2010)

Overview	
Type	Labor Force Survey [hh/lfs]
Identification	LKA-DCS-LFS-2010-v1.0
Version	Production Date: 2012-06-30 v1.0: Full edited dataset, original version for internal DPD use. <u>Notes</u> v1.0: Full edited dataset, original version for internal DPD use.
Series	Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey (LFS) was designed to measure the levels and trends of employment, unemployment and labour force in Sri Lanka. Since 1990, the survey is being conducted quarterly. 2010 is the twenty first successive year in which the survey has been repeated. Amongst other figures, Provincial level estimates are taken from the Annual LFS 2010 data file which is a combination of all the four quarterly files processed for the year 2010.
<u>Abstract</u> The employment/unemployment data are required at very short intervals to monitor the program made in the employment generating policies of the government. To satisfy this need, Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey was designed as a quarterly basis survey to measure the levels and trends of employment, unemployment and labour force in Sri Lanka. Thus the survey is repeated four times each year since the first quarter of 1990. Key objectives of the survey - To study the economically active / inactive population. - To analyze employment by major industry group and employment status. - To determine unemployment rates by level of education and by age group - To study the informal sector employment. - To determine the underemployment rates by sector and by major industries	
Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	Individuals from the population aged 10 years or more

Scope & Coverage	
<u>Scope</u> The scope of LFS 2010 includes the following key sections:- 1). Identification Information 2). Control Data 3). Personal Information 4). Information on Labour Force Identification and Personal Information are as follows:- Identification Information - Address, Province, District, D.S. Division, G. N. Division, Sector, Name of MC/UC/PS - Name of Ward/Village/Estate, Census Block Number, Sample Reference Number - Survey Quarter, Number of households, Name of the Head of the household - Identifications of Interviewers & Supervising Officers Personal Information - Relationship to Head of Household, Gender, Age, Ethnic Group, Religion, Marital Status - Educational Attainment, Attendance at Schooling or other Educational Institution - Professional / Technical Training, Persons who are working abroad	
Topics	LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT [3]
<u>Geographic Coverage</u> National coverage. [Excluding the Northern and the Eastern Provinces] This survey covered persons living in	

housing units only and, it excludes the institutional population.

Universe

Working age population (10 years and above) living in the non-institutional households in Sri Lanka

Producers & Sponsors

Primary
Investigator(s)

Department of Census and Statistics, Ministry of Finance and Planning

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

2.1 Sampling plan and the sampling frame A two stage stratified sampling procedure was adopted to select a sample of 22,500 housing units to be enumerated in the survey. The master sampling frame prepared for 2001 Census of Population and Housing was used as the sampling frame for the selection of sample for the labour force survey 2010. This main frame was updated after the Tsunami incident in 2007 using quick count method. 2.2 Sample size The quarterly survey was conducted since 1990 using a sample of 2,000 housing units per quarter in areas other than North and East, and the sample size was increased to 4,000 housing units per quarter in 1996 and continued thereafter. However, in 1992 and 1997, an annual sample of 20,000 housing units was selected to give reliable estimates of district level. In 2004 again 20,000 housing units were selected for the survey. However, in order to provide district level estimates precisely, it was decided to use 20,000 - 25,000 housing units as the annual sample from 2006. As such, in 2010, the annual sample of 22,500 housing units were selected. 2.3 Sample Allocation In 2010, 2,250 Primary Sampling Units (PSU's) are allocated to each district and each sector by using the Neymann Allocation method which considers the variance of unemployment rate as usually. The allocated sample for each district then equally distributed among the 12 months. Table 1 gives the sample distributions by district for year 2010

District	Housing Units
Mullaitivu	390
Colombo	2,150
Kilinochchi	360
Gampaha	1,920
Batticaloa	560
Kalutara	1,210
Ampara	900
Kandy	1,400
Trincomalee	390
Matale	610
Kurunegala	1,680
Nuwara Eliya	600
Puttalam	840
Galle	1,200
Anuradhapura	960
Matara	970
Polonnaruwa	500
Hambantota	840
Badulla	860
Jaffna	720
Moneragala	550
Mannar	390
Ratnapura	1,160
Vavuniya	390
Kegalle	950

2.4 Selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSU) Primary sampling units are the census blocks prepared for the Census of Population and Housing - 2001. The sample frame, which was updated after Tsunami has been used for the selection of primary sampling units. 2.5 Selection of Secondary Sampling Units (SSU) Secondary Sampling Units are the housing units in the selected 2,250 primary sampling units (census blocks). From each primary sampling unit 10 housing units (SSU) are selected for the survey using random sampling method.

Deviations from Sample Design

In 2009, the field work of the survey for the year 2010 was done in all twelve months in 2010. Even though it was planned to conduct the labour force survey in Northern and Eastern provinces, the survey could not be conducted properly in Northern province due to the prevailing conditions. In year 2010 the survey was carried out in Eastern province successfully as in 2009. Therefore, this report is based on an annual sample of 20,250 housing units, excluding only Northern province providing national, provincial and district level estimates with a stipulated standard errors of labour force characteristics as reported in the relevant reference periods of each 12 months of the year 2010. This survey covers persons living in housing units only and, it excludes the institutional population.

Data Collection

Data Collection
Dates

start 2010-01-01
end 2010-12-31

Data Collection Mode

Face-to-face [f2f]

Data Collection Notes

Field Work The field work of the survey for the year 2009 was done in all 12 months from January to December 2009. Listing operation was also staggered over a period of 12 months starting from November 2009 to October 2010. For each primary sampling unit, updating was done, about two months prior to the scheduled interviewing. As such, for example, the census blocks which were surveyed in April, were updated in February. Statistical officers of the DCS were involved in the listing operation prior to the survey and also in the survey data collection operation. Each statistical officer is responsible for doing all statistical activities in a Divisional Secretariat division to which she/he is attached. These officers were given a thorough training before they were entrusted with the survey operations. In each district, there is an officer who is incharge of the statistical activities in the district.

Questionnaires

Survey Schedule Current survey concepts and methods are very similar to those introduced at the beginning of the survey in 1990. However, some changes have been made over the years to improve the accuracy and usefulness of the data. Beginning in January 2006, some significant improvements were made to the labour force survey schedule in order to fulfill the requirements of its users and also to provide additional information for the planning purposes. This was done after carefully reviewing of the LFS schedule with the technical assistance provided by the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) Adviser. The revision was made focusing on literacy, household economic activities, informal sector employment and underemployment etc. This revised version of the questionnaire has been using since first quarter, 2006.

Data Collector(s)	Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) , Ministry of Finance and Planning
-------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Supervision

Field supervision Senior officers of the DCS visited the Districts to check whether enumerators have done their work properly. These supervising officers were expected to submit their reports to the heads of the relevant divisions based on their observations.

Data Processing & AppraisalOther Processing

Data was processed by district -wise batches and stored in separate files. Data processing included the following steps. 1. Counting and accepting the filled questionnaires by the DP Division 2. Do a visual check for completeness 3. Preliminary editing and marking codes 4. Entry of data 5. Run edit program for each District batch 6. Produce error report and return to the Survey office 7. Correction of errors on the report 8. Change incorrect data using the corrected error report 9. Re-run the edit program and repeat the error correction procedure 10. Produce final report on clean data with a backup of clean data. After all batches are processed, all data files were combined together and then the following steps are completed for all data files: 11) Re-coding of variables needed for analysis 12) Adding of sample weights 13) Tabulations

Estimates of Sampling Error

The estimation procedure is given in the section 2.6 in the Annual Report. The Annual Report is attached in the External Resources Section.

Other Forms of Data Appraisal

The adjustments for non-response is given in the section 2.7 in the Annual Report. The Annual Report is attached in the External Resources Section.

Accessibility

Access Authority	Director General (Department of Census and Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk , dgcensus@statistics.gov.lk
Contact(s)	Information Unit (Department of Census & Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk ,

	<p>information@statistics.gov.lk Sample Survey Division (Department of Census & Statistics) , http://www.statistics.gov.lk , sample.survey@statistics.gov.lk</p>
	<p><u>Confidentiality</u></p> <p>Under the Statistical ordinance, micro data cannot be released with identifications for public use. Procedures are in place to ensure that information relating to any particular individual person, household or undertaking will be kept strictly confidential and will not be divulged to external parties. Information on individual or individual Household/establishment will not be divulged or published in such a form that will facilitate the identification of any particular person or establishment as the data have been collected under the Census/Statistical ordinance, according to which the information at individual level cannot be divulged and such information is strictly confidential.</p> <p>The following rules apply to micro data released by the Department of Census and Statistics.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only the requests of Government Institutions, Recognized Universities, Students, and selected international agencies are entertained. However, the Data users are required to strictly adhere to the terms stipulated in the agreement form. • All the data requests should be made to Director General (DG) of the DCS as the sole authority of releasing data is vested with the DG, DCS. DCS of Sri Lanka reserves sole right to approve or reject any data request made depending on the confidential nature of the data set and intended purpose of the study or analysis. • Requests for micro data should be made through the agreement form designed by DCS for this purpose (Form D.R.1). The agreement form should be filled in triplicate and the Study/project proposal should accompany the filled agreement form. If requests are made for the micro data of more than one survey, a separate agreement should be signed. • If the data request is from a student a letter from the respective Dept. Head/Dean/Supervisor, recommending the issue of data, should also be accompanied. • If the request is approved only 25% of the data file is released at the first stage. The release of the total data file is considered only after reviewing the draft report prepared on the basis of the 25% sample data file. • The released Data file should be used only for the specific study/Analysis mentioned in the agreement form and shall not be used for any other purpose without the prior approval of the Director General of the DCS. Moreover, Copies of the micro-data file, obtained from the DCS, shall not be given to anyone else without the prior written approval of the Director General of the DCS. • The draft report of the Study/Analysis should be submitted to the DCS and the concurrence of the DG, DCS, should be obtained before publishing it. Once published, a copy of the final report should be submitted to the DCS.
	<p><u>Access Conditions</u></p> <p>The dataset has been anonymized and is available as a Public Use Dataset. It is accessible to all for statistical and research purposes only, under the following terms and conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data and other materials will not be redistributed or sold to other individuals, institutions, or organizations without the written agreement. 2. The data will be used for statistical and scientific research purposes only. They will be used solely for reporting of aggregated information, and not for investigation of specific individuals or organizations.

3. No attempt will be made to re-identify respondents, and no use will be made of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently.
4. No attempt will be made to produce links among datasets provided by the Department or among data from the Department and other datasets that could identify individuals or organizations.
5. Any books, articles, conference papers, theses, dissertations, reports, or other publications that employ data obtained from the Department will cite the source of data in accordance with the Citation Requirement provided with each dataset.
6. An electronic copy of all reports and publications based on the requested data will be sent to the Department

[Department : The Department of Census and Statistics]

Citation Requirements

"Department of Census and Statistics, Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey 2010, Version 1.0 "

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The Department of Census and Statistics bears no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the secondary use of the data.

Copyright

(C) 2010, Department of Census and Statistics

Files Description

Dataset contains 12 file(s)

2010-Identification Information	
# Cases	19807
# Variable(s)	19
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , TEMP (TEMP)

2010-Personal Information	
# Cases	69201
# Variable(s)	21
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , P1 (P1)

2010-Information on Labour Force	
# Cases	58156
# Variable(s)	18
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q1SERNO (Q1SERNO)

2010-Question 7 to 13	
# Cases	26618
# Variable(s)	17
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q7SERNO (Q7SERNO)

2010-Question 14 to 19	
# Cases	26618
# Variable(s)	15
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q14SERNO (Q14SERNO)

2010-Question 20 to 25	
# Cases	26618
# Variable(s)	16
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q20SERNO (Q20SERNO)

2010-Question 26 to 30	
# Cases	23415
# Variable(s)	16
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q26SERNO (Q26SERNO)

2010-Question 31 to 32	
# Cases	26618
# Variable(s)	26
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q31SERNO (Q31SERNO)

2010-Question 33 to 36	
# Cases	31538
# Variable(s)	16

File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q33SERNO (Q33SERNO)
----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2010-Question 37to 42	
# Cases	30648
# Variable(s)	19
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q37SERNO (Q37SERNO)

2010-Question 43 to 46	
# Cases	1398
# Variable(s)	21
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q43SERNO (Q43SERNO)

2010-Question 47 to 50	
# Cases	1385
# Variable(s)	14
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): YEAR (YEAR) , MONTH (MONTH) , SECTOR (SECTOR) , DISTRICT (DISTRICT) , PSU (PSU) , HUNO (HUNO) , HHNO (HHNO) , HHSERNO (HHSERNO) , Q47SERNO (Q47SERNO)

Variables List

Dataset contains 218 variable(s)

File 2010-Identification Information							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	19807	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	19807	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	19807	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	19807	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	19807	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	19807	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	19807	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	19807	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	19807	0	-
10	TEMP	TEMP	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	19807	-
11	RCODE1	RCODE1	discrete	numeric-1.0	19750	57	-
12	RCODE2	RCODE2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3301	16506	-
13	RCODE3	RCODE3	discrete	numeric-1.0	1444	18363	-
14	TIME1	TIME1	continuous	numeric-2.0	16774	3033	-
15	TIME2	TIME2	continuous	numeric-2.0	1892	17915	-
16	TIME3	TIME3	continuous	numeric-2.0	485	19322	-
17	G4	G4	discrete	numeric-2.0	19700	107	-
18	G5	G5	discrete	numeric-2.0	19699	108	-
19	FCODE	FCODE	discrete	numeric-1.0	19807	0	-

File 2010-Personal Information							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	69201	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	69201	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	69201	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	69201	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	69201	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	69201	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	69201	0	-
10	P1	P1	discrete	numeric-2.0	69201	0	-
11	P3	P3	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	P4	P4	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
13	P5	P5	continuous	numeric-2.0	69201	0	-
14	P6	P6	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
15	P7	P7	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
16	P8	P8	discrete	numeric-1.0	69201	0	-
17	P9	P9	discrete	numeric-2.0	63763	5438	-
18	P10	P10	discrete	numeric-1.0	63698	5503	-
19	P11	P11	discrete	numeric-1.0	58178	11023	-
20	P12	P12	discrete	numeric-1.0	58166	11035	-
21	P13	P13	discrete	numeric-1.0	58163	11038	-

File 2010-Information on Labour Force							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	58156	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	58156	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	58156	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	58156	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	58156	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	58156	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	58156	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	58156	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	58156	0	-
10	Q1SERNO	Q1SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	58156	0	-
11	Q2	Q2	discrete	numeric-1.0	58156	0	-
12	Q3	Q3	discrete	numeric-1.0	25296	32860	-
13	Q4	Q4	discrete	numeric-1.0	32860	25296	-
14	Q5	Q5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1322	56834	-
15	Q6A	Q6A	discrete	numeric-1.0	31538	26618	-
16	Q6BHH1	Q6BHH1	continuous	numeric-2.0	2140	56016	-
17	Q6BHH2	Q6BHH2	continuous	numeric-2.0	1088	57068	-
18	Q6BHH3	Q6BHH3	continuous	numeric-2.0	96	58060	-

File 2010-Question 7 to 13							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	26618	0	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
10	Q7SERNO	Q7SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
11	Q7	Q7	continuous	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
12	Q8	Q8	continuous	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
13	Q9	Q9	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
14	Q10	Q10	discrete	numeric-1.0	14799	11819	-
15	Q11	Q11	discrete	numeric-1.0	11996	14622	-
16	Q12	Q12	discrete	numeric-1.0	11996	14622	-
17	Q13	Q13	discrete	numeric-1.0	11996	14622	-

File 2010-Question 14 to 19

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	26618	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
10	Q14SERNO	Q14SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
11	Q14	Q14	discrete	numeric-1.0	20009	6609	-
12	Q15	Q15	discrete	numeric-1.0	20009	6609	-
13	Q16	Q16	discrete	numeric-1.0	20008	6610	-
14	Q17	Q17	continuous	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
15	Q18	Q18	continuous	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 20 to 25

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	26618	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
10	Q20SERNO	Q20SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
11	Q20	Q20	discrete	numeric-1.0	6677	19941	-
12	Q21	Q21	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
13	Q22	Q22	continuous	numeric-4.0	2609	24009	-
14	Q23	Q23	continuous	numeric-4.0	2609	24009	-
15	Q24	Q24	discrete	numeric-1.0	2609	24009	-
16	Q25	Q25	continuous	numeric-2.0	2609	24009	-

File 2010-Question 26 to 30

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	23415	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	23415	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	23415	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	23415	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	23415	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	23415	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	23415	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	23415	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	23415	0	-
10	Q26SERNO	Q26SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	23415	0	-
11	Q261	Q261	continuous	numeric-2.0	23415	0	-
12	Q262	Q262	continuous	numeric-2.0	20220	3195	-
13	Q27	Q27	discrete	numeric-1.0	3690	19725	-
14	Q28	Q28	discrete	numeric-1.0	909	22506	-
15	Q29	Q29	continuous	numeric-2.0	909	22506	-
16	Q30	Q30	discrete	numeric-1.0	909	22506	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 31 to 32							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	26618	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	26618	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	26618	0	-
10	Q31SERNO	Q31SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	26618	0	-
11	Q31A	Q31A	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
12	Q31B1	Q31B1	continuous	numeric-5.0	8916	17702	-
13	Q31B2	Q31B2	continuous	numeric-5.0	1386	25232	-
14	Q31B3	Q31B3	continuous	numeric-5.0	602	26016	-
15	Q31C1	Q31C1	continuous	numeric-5.0	5805	20813	-
16	Q31C2	Q31C2	continuous	numeric-2.0	5800	20818	-
17	Q31C3	Q31C3	continuous	numeric-5.0	5890	20728	-
18	Q31C4	Q31C4	continuous	numeric-5.0	1101	25517	-
19	Q32	Q32	discrete	numeric-1.0	26618	0	-
20	Q32B1	Q32B1	continuous	numeric-5.0	77	26541	-
21	Q32B2	Q32B2	discrete	numeric-5.0	3	26615	-
22	Q32B3	Q32B3	discrete	numeric-5.0	6	26612	-
23	Q32C1	Q32C1	continuous	numeric-5.0	349	26269	-
24	Q32C2	Q32C2	discrete	numeric-2.0	339	26279	-
25	Q32C3	Q32C3	continuous	numeric-5.0	338	26280	-
26	Q32C4	Q32C4	discrete	numeric-5.0	50	26568	-

File 2010-Question 33 to 36							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	31538	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	31538	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	31538	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	31538	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	31538	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	31538	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	31538	0	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	31538	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	31538	0	-
10	Q33SERNO	Q33SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	31538	0	-
11	Q33	Q33	discrete	numeric-1.0	31538	0	-
12	Q34	Q34	discrete	numeric-1.0	2299	29239	-
13	Q351	Q351	discrete	numeric-1.0	1341	30197	-
14	Q352	Q352	discrete	numeric-1.0	460	31078	-
15	Q353	Q353	discrete	numeric-1.0	179	31359	-
16	Q36	Q36	discrete	numeric-1.0	943	30595	-

File 2010-Question 37to 42

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	30648	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	30648	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	30648	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	30648	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	30648	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	30648	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	30648	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	30648	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	30648	0	-
10	Q37SERNO	Q37SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	30648	0	-
11	Q37	Q37	discrete	numeric-1.0	1397	29251	-
12	Q38	Q38	discrete	numeric-1.0	29249	1399	-
13	Q39	Q39	discrete	numeric-1.0	1398	29250	-
14	Q40	Q40	discrete	numeric-1.0	957	29691	-
15	Q41A	Q41A	discrete	numeric-1.0	131	30517	-
16	Q41B1	Q41B1	discrete	numeric-1.0	116	30532	-
17	Q41B2	Q41B2	discrete	numeric-1.0	51	30597	-
18	Q41B3	Q41B3	discrete	numeric-1.0	23	30625	-
19	Q42	Q42	continuous	numeric-4.0	1089	29559	-

File 2010-Question 43 to 46

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	1398	0	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	1398	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	1398	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	1398	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1398	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	1398	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	1398	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	1398	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	1398	0	-
10	Q43SERNO	Q43SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	1398	0	-
11	Q43	Q43	continuous	numeric-5.0	1398	0	-
12	Q44	Q44	discrete	numeric-1.0	1398	0	-
13	Q45	Q45	discrete	numeric-1.0	1398	0	-
14	Q46B1	Q46B1	continuous	numeric-3.0	470	928	-
15	Q46C1	Q46C1	discrete	numeric-1.0	471	927	-
16	Q46D1	Q46D1	continuous	numeric-2.0	470	928	-
17	Q46E1	Q46E1	discrete	numeric-2.0	470	928	-
18	Q46B2	Q46B2	continuous	numeric-3.0	92	1306	-
19	Q46C2	Q46C2	discrete	numeric-1.0	93	1305	-
20	Q46D2	Q46D2	discrete	numeric-2.0	93	1305	-
21	Q46E2	Q46E2	discrete	numeric-2.0	92	1306	-

File 2010-Question 47 to 50							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REC\$TYPE	-	discrete	character-2	1385	0	-
2	YEAR	YEAR	discrete	numeric-4.0	1385	0	-
3	MONTH	MONTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	1385	0	-
4	SECTOR	SECTOR	discrete	numeric-1.0	1385	0	-
5	DISTRICT	DISTRICT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1385	0	-
6	PSU	PSU	continuous	numeric-3.0	1385	0	-
7	HUNO	HUNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	1385	0	-
8	HHNO	HHNO	discrete	numeric-1.0	1385	0	-
9	HHSERNO	HHSERNO	continuous	numeric-3.0	1385	0	-
10	Q47SERNO	Q47SERNO	discrete	numeric-2.0	1385	0	-
11	Q47	Q47	discrete	numeric-1.0	931	454	-
12	Q48	Q48	discrete	numeric-1.0	1385	0	-
13	Q49	Q49	continuous	numeric-4.0	501	884	-

Labour Force Survey - 2010 - Variables List

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	Q50	Q50	discrete	numeric-1.0	501	884	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 218 variable(s)

File 2010-Identification Information

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		19807	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		19807	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	1651	8.3%
2	February	1695	8.6%
3	March	1603	8.1%
4	April	1607	8.1%
5	May	1687	8.5%
6	June	1669	8.4%
7	July	1719	8.7%
8	August	1635	8.3%
9	September	1668	8.4%
10	October	1598	8.1%
11	November	1639	8.3%
12	December	1636	8.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File 2010-Identification Information (cont.)

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	2500	12.6%
2	Rural	16213	81.9%
3	Estate	1094	5.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2100	10.6%
12	Gampaha	1912	9.7%
13	Kalutara	1174	5.9%
21	Kandy	1308	6.6%
22	Matale	606	3.1%
23	Nuwara Eliya	587	3.0%
31	Galle	1169	5.9%
32	Matara	955	4.8%
33	Hambantota	832	4.2%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	502	2.5%
52	Ampara	875	4.4%
53	Trincomalee	363	1.8%
61	Kurunegala	1670	8.4%
62	Puttalama	843	4.3%
71	Anuradhapura	943	4.8%
72	Polonnaruwa	472	2.4%
81	Badulla	861	4.3%
82	Monaragala	530	2.7%
91	Ratnapura	1154	5.8%
92	Kegalle	951	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Identification Information (cont.)

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.857 /-] [StdDev=46.312 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1993	10.1%
2		1984	10.0%
3		1976	10.0%
4		1979	10.0%
5		1987	10.0%
6		1982	10.0%
7		1978	10.0%
8		1978	10.0%
9		1980	10.0%
10		1970	9.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		19679	99.4%
2		110	0.6%
3		15	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		2	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51.025 /-] [StdDev=38.061 /-]

#10 TEMP: TEMP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
-------------	-----------------------------------------------

File 2010-Identification Information (cont.)

#10 TEMP: TEMP (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=19807 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		19807	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 RCODE1: RCODE1

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19750 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completed	15961	80.8%
2	Deferred	355	1.8%
3	Ineligible	121	0.6%
4	No Competent respondent at home	627	3.2%
5	Refused	24	0.1%
6	Housing unit is temporarily closed	2565	13.0%
7	Other	97	0.5%
Sysmiss		57	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 RCODE2: RCODE2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3301 /-] [Invalid=16506 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completed	1555	47.1%
2	Deferred	65	2.0%
3	Ineligible	9	0.3%
4	No Competent respondent at home	236	7.1%
5	Refused	6	0.2%
6	Housing unit is temporarily closed	1409	42.7%
7	Other	21	0.6%
Sysmiss		16506	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 RCODE3: RCODE3

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1444 /-] [Invalid=18363 /-]

File 2010-Identification Information (cont.)

#13 RCODE3: RCODE3 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completed	276	19.1%
2	Deferred	1	0.1%
3	Ineligible	2	0.1%
4	No Competent respondent at home	157	10.9%
5	Refused	4	0.3%
6	Housing unit is temporarily closed	990	68.6%
7	Other	14	1.0%
Sysmiss		18363	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 TIME1: TIME1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16774 /-] [Invalid=3033 /-] [Mean=32.854 /-] [StdDev=13.533 /-]

#15 TIME2: TIME2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1892 /-] [Invalid=17915 /-] [Mean=30.605 /-] [StdDev=17.874 /-]

#16 TIME3: TIME3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=485 /-] [Invalid=19322 /-] [Mean=21.893 /-] [StdDev=19.08 /-]

#17 G4: G4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19700 /-] [Invalid=107 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19508	99.0%
1		192	1.0%
Sysmiss		107	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#18 G5: G5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19699 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]

File 2010-Identification Information (cont.)

#18 G5: G5 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19545	99.2%
1		152	0.8%
2		2	0.0%
Sysmiss		108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19 FCODE: FCODE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19807 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completed	17744	89.6%
2	Partially completed, usable	0	0.0%
3	Partially completed, unusable	0	0.0%
4	Not completed	1928	9.7%
5	Ineligible	135	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Personal Information

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		69201	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		69201	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	5859	8.5%
2	February	6236	9.0%
3	March	5719	8.3%
4	April	5691	8.2%
5	May	5916	8.5%
6	June	5918	8.6%
7	July	5851	8.5%
8	August	5768	8.3%
9	September	5714	8.3%
10	October	5390	7.8%
11	November	5567	8.0%
12	December	5572	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	9122	13.2%
2	Rural	56091	81.1%
3	Estate	3988	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	7451	10.8%
12	Gampaha	6545	9.5%
13	Kalutara	4113	5.9%
21	Kandy	4542	6.6%
22	Matale	2175	3.1%
23	Nuwara Eliya	2061	3.0%
31	Galle	4260	6.2%

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
32	Matara	3435	5.0%
33	Hambantota	3025	4.4%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	1837	2.7%
52	Ampara	3089	4.5%
53	Trincomalee	1347	1.9%
61	Kurunegala	5547	8.0%
62	Puttalama	2905	4.2%
71	Anuradhapura	3228	4.7%
72	Polonnaruwa	1498	2.2%
81	Badulla	3056	4.4%
82	Monaragala	1754	2.5%
91	Ratnapura	4117	5.9%
92	Kegalle	3216	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.008 /-] [StdDev=46.127 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		7109		10.3%
2		7014		10.1%
3		6887		10.0%
4		6995		10.1%
5		7004		10.1%
6		7154		10.3%
7		6933		10.0%
8		6788		9.8%
9		6720		9.7%

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		6597	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		68779	99.4%
2		362	0.5%
3		54	0.1%
4		3	0.0%
5		3	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.693 /-] [StdDev=37.723 /-]

#10 P1: P1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		17745	25.6%
2		16714	24.2%
3		14259	20.6%
4		10492	15.2%
5		5804	8.4%
6		2483	3.6%
7		994	1.4%
8		381	0.6%
9		173	0.2%
10		76	0.1%
11		36	0.1%
12		19	0.0%
13		11	0.0%
14		4	0.0%

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#10 P1: P1 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		3	0.0%
16		2	0.0%
17		2	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 P3: P3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Head of Household	17745	25.6%
2	Wife / Husband	12672	18.3%
3	Son / Daughter	27254	39.4%
4	Parents	1198	1.7%
5	Other Relative	10056	14.5%
6	Domestic Servant	112	0.2%
7	Boarder	65	0.1%
9	Other	99	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 P4: P4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	32974	47.6%
2	Female	36227	52.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 P5: P5

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=32.971 /-] [StdDev=21.233 /-]

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#14 P6: P6

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sinhala	54187	78.3%
2	Sri Lankan Tamil	4990	7.2%
3	Indian Tamil	3283	4.7%
4	Sri Lankan Moor	6477	9.4%
5	Malay	183	0.3%
6	Burger	65	0.1%
9	Other	16	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 P7: P7

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Buddhist	51007	73.7%
2	Hindu	6895	10.0%
3	Muslim	6652	9.6%
4	Catholic or christian	4642	6.7%
5	Other	0	0.0%
9		5	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 P8: P8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69201 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Married	30615	44.2%
2	Married	33675	48.7%
3	Widowed	4247	6.1%
4	Divorced	176	0.3%
5	Separated	488	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#17 P9: P9

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-19] [Missing=*]		
-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#17 P9: P9 (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=63763 /-] [Invalid=5438 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Studying/Studied - Grade 1	1258	2.0%
1	Passed Grade -1	1827	2.9%
2	Passed Grade -2	2581	4.0%
3	Passed Grade -3	2618	4.1%
4	Passed Grade -4	3423	5.4%
5	Passed Grade -5	4309	6.8%
6	Passed Grade -6	2977	4.7%
7	Passed Grade -7	3485	5.5%
8	Passed Grade -8	4806	7.5%
9	Passed Grade -9	3299	5.2%
10	Passed Grade -10	13751	21.6%
11	Passed G.C.E.(O/L) / N.C.G.E	5791	9.1%
12	Passed Grade -12	3362	5.3%
13	Passed G.C.E.(A/L) / H.N.C.E.	5898	9.2%
14	Passed G.A.Q. / G.S.Q.	175	0.3%
15	Degree	961	1.5%
16	Post Graduate Degree / Diploma	191	0.3%
19	No School	3051	4.8%
Sysmiss		5438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#18 P10: P10

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=63698 /-] [Invalid=5503 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	School	14663	23.0%
2	University	283	0.4%
3	Other educational Institution	841	1.3%
4	Vocational / Technical Institution	323	0.5%
5	Does not attend	47588	74.7%
Sysmiss		5503	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19 P11: P11

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=58178 /-] [Invalid=11023 /-]

File 2010-Personal Information (cont.)

#19 P11: P11 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Able to read and write	45398	78.0%
2	Unable to read and write	12780	22.0%
Sysmiss		11023	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#20 P12: P12

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58166 /-] [Invalid=11035 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Able to read and write	10480	18.0%
2	Unable to read and write	47686	82.0%
Sysmiss		11035	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#21 P13: P13

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58163 /-] [Invalid=11038 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Able to read and write	9310	16.0%
2	Unable to read and write	48853	84.0%
Sysmiss		11038	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Information on Labour Force

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		58156	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		58156	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	4936	8.5%
2	February	5242	9.0%
3	March	4824	8.3%
4	April	4754	8.2%
5	May	4994	8.6%
6	June	4976	8.6%
7	July	4925	8.5%
8	August	4812	8.3%
9	September	4771	8.2%
10	October	4510	7.8%
11	November	4684	8.1%
12	December	4728	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	7696	13.2%
2	Rural	47175	81.1%
3	Estate	3285	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	6356	10.9%
12	Gampaha	5551	9.5%
13	Kalutara	3487	6.0%
21	Kandy	3847	6.6%
22	Matale	1763	3.0%
23	Nuwara Eliya	1711	2.9%
31	Galle	3662	6.3%
32	Matara	2880	5.0%
33	Hambantota	2494	4.3%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	1489	2.6%
52	Ampara	2522	4.3%
53	Trincomalee	1081	1.9%
61	Kurunegala	4723	8.1%
62	Puttalama	2394	4.1%
71	Anuradhapura	2686	4.6%
72	Polonnaruwa	1248	2.1%
81	Badulla	2569	4.4%
82	Monaragala	1474	2.5%
91	Ratnapura	3500	6.0%
92	Kegalle	2719	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.316 /-] [StdDev=46.291 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5927	<div></div> 10.2%
2		5870	<div></div> 10.1%

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		5826	10.0%
4		5881	10.1%
5		5904	10.2%
6		5957	10.2%
7		5849	10.1%
8		5712	9.8%
9		5648	9.7%
10		5582	9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		57849	99.5%
2		264	0.5%
3		39	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		2	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51.081 /-] [StdDev=37.974 /-]		

#10 Q1SERNO: Q1SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		17745	30.5%
2		16341	28.1%
3		11612	20.0%
4		7068	12.2%
5		3204	5.5%
6		1327	2.3%
7		508	0.9%

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#10 Q1SERNO: Q1SERNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		208	0.4%
9		75	0.1%
10		24	0.0%
11		19	0.0%
12		11	0.0%
13		6	0.0%
14		2	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q2: Q2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58156 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	25296	43.5%
2	No	32860	56.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q3: Q3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25296 /-] [Invalid=32860 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The main economic activity	22730	89.9%
2	Another economic activity	244	1.0%
3	Both	2322	9.2%
Sysmiss		32860	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q4: Q4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32860 /-] [Invalid=25296 /-]		

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#13 Q4: Q4 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1322	4.0%
2	No	31538	96.0%
Sysmiss		25296	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q5: Q5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1322 /-] [Invalid=56834 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Off season/Bad weather	298	22.5%
2	Temporary disorganization	178	13.5%
3	Sick/Injury	208	15.7%
4	Leave/Holiday vacation/educational leave or training	252	19.1%
5	Personal/Family Needs	135	10.2%
9	Other	251	19.0%
Sysmiss		56834	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 Q6A: Q6A

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=26618 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2532	8.0%
2	No	29006	92.0%
Sysmiss		26618	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q6BHH1: Q6BHH1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-60] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2140 /-] [Invalid=56016 /-] [Mean=3.876 /-] [StdDev=3.801 /-]		

#17 Q6BHH2: Q6BHH2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1088 /-] [Invalid=57068 /-] [Mean=4.308 /-] [StdDev=5.923 /-]		

File 2010-Information on Labour Force (cont.)

#18 Q6BHH3: Q6BHH3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=96 /-] [Invalid=58060 /-] [Mean=14.99 /-] [StdDev=14.54 /-]

File 2010-Question 7 to 13

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2250	8.5%
2	February	2371	8.9%
3	March	2243	8.4%
4	April	2150	8.1%
5	May	2295	8.6%
6	June	2258	8.5%
7	July	2123	8.0%
8	August	2187	8.2%
9	September	2233	8.4%
10	October	2243	8.4%
11	November	2077	7.8%

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#3 MONTH: MONTH (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	December	2188	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3092	11.6%
2	Rural	21783	81.8%
3	Estate	1743	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2792	10.5%
12	Gampaha	2400	9.0%
13	Kalutara	1590	6.0%
21	Kandy	1538	5.8%
22	Matale	842	3.2%
23	Nuwara Eliya	905	3.4%
31	Galle	1516	5.7%
32	Matara	1236	4.6%
33	Hambantota	1160	4.4%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	538	2.0%
52	Ampara	988	3.7%
53	Trincomalee	444	1.7%
61	Kurunegala	2266	8.5%
62	Puttalama	1127	4.2%
71	Anuradhapura	1541	5.8%
72	Polonnaruwa	548	2.1%

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Badulla	1351	5.1%
82	Monaragala	813	3.1%
91	Ratnapura	1751	6.6%
92	Kegalle	1272	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60.955 /-] [StdDev=46.081 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2722	10.2%
2		2716	10.2%
3		2662	10.0%
4		2672	10.0%
5		2731	10.3%
6		2713	10.2%
7		2687	10.1%
8		2594	9.7%
9		2549	9.6%
10		2572	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		26460	99.4%
2		135	0.5%
3		20	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.464 /-] [StdDev=37.739 /-]

#10 Q7SENO: Q7SENO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		12408	46.6%
2		6914	26.0%
3		4245	15.9%
4		1803	6.8%
5		671	2.5%
6		321	1.2%
7		144	0.5%
8		62	0.2%
9		23	0.1%
10		13	0.0%
11		8	0.0%
12		3	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q7: Q7

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9341] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6237.724 /-] [StdDev=2524.587 /-]

#12 Q8: Q8

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9900] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3548.919 /-] [StdDev=3122.207 /-]

#13 Q9: Q9

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#13 Q9: Q9 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee	14799	55.6%
2	Employer	673	2.5%
3	Own account worker	8349	31.4%
4	Unpaid family worker	2797	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q10: Q10

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14799 /-] [Invalid=11819 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Permenent	6344	42.9%
2	Temporary	4154	28.1%
3	Casual	1498	10.1%
4	No permancent Employer	2803	18.9%
Sysmiss		11819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 Q11: Q11

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11996 /-] [Invalid=14622 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6814	56.8%
2	No	4901	40.9%
3	Do not Know	281	2.3%
Sysmiss		14622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q12: Q12

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11996 /-] [Invalid=14622 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5858	48.8%
2	No	5900	49.2%
3	Do not Know	238	2.0%
Sysmiss		14622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 7 to 13 (cont.)

#17 Q13: Q13

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11996 /-] [Invalid=14622 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government	2660	22.2%
2	Semi-Government	1146	9.6%
3	Private	8190	68.3%
Sysmiss		14622	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 14 to 19

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2250	8.5%
2	February	2371	8.9%
3	March	2243	8.4%
4	April	2150	8.1%
5	May	2295	8.6%

File 2010-Question 14 to 19 (cont.)

#3 MONTH: MONTH (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	June	2258	8.5%
7	July	2123	8.0%
8	August	2187	8.2%
9	September	2233	8.4%
10	October	2243	8.4%
11	November	2077	7.8%
12	December	2188	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3092	11.6%
2	Rural	21783	81.8%
3	Estate	1743	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2792	10.5%
12	Gampaha	2400	9.0%
13	Kalutara	1590	6.0%
21	Kandy	1538	5.8%
22	Matale	842	3.2%
23	Nuwara Eliya	905	3.4%
31	Galle	1516	5.7%
32	Matara	1236	4.6%
33	Hambantota	1160	4.4%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	538	2.0%

File 2010-Question 14 to 19 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
52	Ampara	988	3.7%
53	Trincomalee	444	1.7%
61	Kurunegala	2266	8.5%
62	Puttalama	1127	4.2%
71	Anuradhapura	1541	5.8%
72	Polonnaruwa	548	2.1%
81	Badulla	1351	5.1%
82	Monaragala	813	3.1%
91	Ratnapura	1751	6.6%
92	Kegalle	1272	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60.955 /-] [StdDev=46.081 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2722	10.2%
2		2716	10.2%
3		2662	10.0%
4		2672	10.0%
5		2731	10.3%
6		2713	10.2%
7		2687	10.1%
8		2594	9.7%
9		2549	9.6%
10		2572	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File 2010-Question 14 to 19 (cont.)

#8 HHNO: HHNO (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		26460	99.4%
2		135	0.5%
3		20	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.464 /-] [StdDev=37.739 /-]

#10 Q14SENO: Q14SENO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		12408	46.6%
2		6914	26.0%
3		4245	15.9%
4		1803	6.8%
5		671	2.5%
6		321	1.2%
7		144	0.5%
8		62	0.2%
9		23	0.1%
10		13	0.0%
11		8	0.0%
12		3	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q14: Q14

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20009 /-] [Invalid=6609 /-]

File 2010-Question 14 to 19 (cont.)

#11 Q14: Q14 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5180	25.9%
2	No	13847	69.2%
3	Do not know	982	4.9%
Sysmiss		6609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q15: Q15

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20009 /-] [Invalid=6609 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5134	25.7%
2	No	13928	69.6%
3	Do not know	947	4.7%
Sysmiss		6609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q16: Q16

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20008 /-] [Invalid=6610 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 5	2691	13.4%
2	5 to 9	761	3.8%
3	10 to 15	653	3.3%
4	16 to 49	829	4.1%
5	50 to 99	610	3.0%
6	100 or more	2260	11.3%
7	No specific institution	2242	11.2%
8	No paid employees/regular employees	9962	49.8%
Sysmiss		6610	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q17: Q17

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=46.425 /-] [StdDev=15.41 /-]

File 2010-Question 14 to 19 (cont.)

#15 Q18: Q18

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=40.992 /-] [StdDev=19.487 /-]

File 2010-Question 20 to 25

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		26618	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		26618	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2250	8.5%
2	February	2371	8.9%
3	March	2243	8.4%
4	April	2150	8.1%
5	May	2295	8.6%
6	June	2258	8.5%
7	July	2123	8.0%
8	August	2187	8.2%
9	September	2233	8.4%
10	October	2243	8.4%
11	November	2077	7.8%

File 2010-Question 20 to 25 (cont.)

#3 MONTH: MONTH (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	December	2188	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3092	11.6%
2	Rural	21783	81.8%
3	Estate	1743	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2792	10.5%
12	Gampaha	2400	9.0%
13	Kalutara	1590	6.0%
21	Kandy	1538	5.8%
22	Matale	842	3.2%
23	Nuwara Eliya	905	3.4%
31	Galle	1516	5.7%
32	Matara	1236	4.6%
33	Hambantota	1160	4.4%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	538	2.0%
52	Ampara	988	3.7%
53	Trincomalee	444	1.7%
61	Kurunegala	2266	8.5%
62	Puttalama	1127	4.2%
71	Anuradhapura	1541	5.8%
72	Polonnaruwa	548	2.1%

File 2010-Question 20 to 25 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Badulla	1351	5.1%
82	Monaragala	813	3.1%
91	Ratnapura	1751	6.6%
92	Kegalle	1272	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60.955 /-] [StdDev=46.081 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2722	10.2%
2		2716	10.2%
3		2662	10.0%
4		2672	10.0%
5		2731	10.3%
6		2713	10.2%
7		2687	10.1%
8		2594	9.7%
9		2549	9.6%
10		2572	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		26460	99.4%
2		135	0.5%
3		20	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 20 to 25 (cont.)

#9 HHSERNO: HHSERNO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.464 /-] [StdDev=37.739 /-]

#10 Q20SERNO: Q20SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		12408	46.6%
2		6914	26.0%
3		4245	15.9%
4		1803	6.8%
5		671	2.5%
6		321	1.2%
7		144	0.5%
8		62	0.2%
9		23	0.1%
10		13	0.0%
11		8	0.0%
12		3	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q20: Q20

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6677 /-] [Invalid=19941 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Off season / Bad weather	1241	18.6%
2	Temporary disorganization	266	4.0%
3	Could not find a work	961	14.4%
4	Temporary lay-off	495	7.4%
5	Job started/end within refereance period	418	6.3%
6	temporary dismissed by employer	43	0.6%
7	Sick injury / personal	1194	17.9%
8	Leave/ holiday/vacation/educational leave or training	1201	18.0%
9	Other	858	12.9%
Sysmiss		19941	

File 2010-Question 20 to 25 (cont.)

#11 Q20: Q20 (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q21: Q21

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2609	9.8%
2	No	24009	90.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q22: Q22

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9341] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2609 /-] [Invalid=24009 /-] [Mean=6319.552 /-] [StdDev=1951.185 /-]

#14 Q23: Q23

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9900] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2609 /-] [Invalid=24009 /-] [Mean=1821.51 /-] [StdDev=2750.588 /-]

#15 Q24: Q24

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2609 /-] [Invalid=24009 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employee	425	16.3%
2	Employer	72	2.8%
3	Own account worker	1609	61.7%
4	Unpaid family worker	503	19.3%
Sysmiss		24009	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q25: Q25

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-85] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2609 /-] [Invalid=24009 /-] [Mean=19.673 /-] [StdDev=11.558 /-]

File 2010-Question 26 to 30

#1 REC\$TYPE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		23415	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#2 YEAR: YEAR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		23415	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#3 MONTH: MONTH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	1971	8.4%
2	February	2075	8.9%
3	March	1970	8.4%
4	April	1960	8.4%
5	May	1994	8.5%
6	June	1998	8.5%
7	July	1870	8.0%
8	August	1898	8.1%
9	September	1984	8.5%
10	October	1889	8.1%
11	November	1859	7.9%
12	December	1947	8.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	2859	12.2%

File 2010-Question 26 to 30 (cont.)

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rural	18903	80.7%
3	Estate	1653	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2578	11.0%
12	Gampaha	2196	9.4%
13	Kalutara	1476	6.3%
21	Kandy	1406	6.0%
22	Matale	675	2.9%
23	Nuwara Eliya	824	3.5%
31	Galle	1387	5.9%
32	Matara	1079	4.6%
33	Hambantota	1011	4.3%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	511	2.2%
52	Ampara	930	4.0%
53	Trincomalee	391	1.7%
61	Kurunegala	1977	8.4%
62	Puttalama	953	4.1%
71	Anuradhapura	1102	4.7%
72	Polonnaruwa	452	1.9%
81	Badulla	1144	4.9%
82	Monaragala	657	2.8%
91	Ratnapura	1538	6.6%
92	Kegalle	1128	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
-------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

File 2010-Question 26 to 30 (cont.)

#6 PSU: PSU (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.663 /-] [StdDev=46.56 /-]
--------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2324	9.9%
2		2387	10.2%
3		2355	10.1%
4		2362	10.1%
5		2419	10.3%
6		2401	10.3%
7		2363	10.1%
8		2256	9.6%
9		2288	9.8%
10		2260	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		23272	99.4%
2		121	0.5%
3		19	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]
-------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51.023 /-] [StdDev=37.986 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

#10 Q26SENO: Q26SENO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

File 2010-Question 26 to 30 (cont.)

#10 Q26SERNO: Q26SERNO (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		10317	44.1%
2		6248	26.7%
3		3925	16.8%
4		1716	7.3%
5		653	2.8%
6		311	1.3%
7		135	0.6%
8		61	0.3%
9		23	0.1%
10		12	0.1%
11		8	0.0%
12		3	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q261: Q261

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23415 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=46.84 /-] [StdDev=15.297 /-]

#12 Q262: Q262

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20220 /-] [Invalid=3195 /-] [Mean=45.276 /-] [StdDev=17.902 /-]

#13 Q27: Q27

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3690 /-] [Invalid=19725 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	909	<div></div>	24.6%
2	No	2781	<div></div>	75.4%
Sysmiss		19725		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 26 to 30 (cont.)

#14 Q28: Q28

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=909 /-] [Invalid=22506 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In the present occupation/economic activity	415	45.7%
2	Doing an another occupation while doing the present occupati	117	12.9%
3	Fully in another occupation	197	21.7%
4	Any of the above	180	19.8%
Sysmiss		22506	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 Q29: Q29

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-72] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=909 /-] [Invalid=22506 /-] [Mean=24.624 /-] [StdDev=10.366 /-]		

#16 Q30: Q30

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=909 /-] [Invalid=22506 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	895	98.5%
2	No	14	1.5%
Sysmiss		22506	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 31 to 32

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		26618	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2250	8.5%
2	February	2371	8.9%
3	March	2243	8.4%
4	April	2150	8.1%
5	May	2295	8.6%
6	June	2258	8.5%
7	July	2123	8.0%
8	August	2187	8.2%
9	September	2233	8.4%
10	October	2243	8.4%
11	November	2077	7.8%
12	December	2188	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	3092	11.6%
2	Rural	21783	81.8%
3	Estate	1743	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	2792	10.5%
12	Gampaha	2400	9.0%
13	Kalutara	1590	6.0%
21	Kandy	1538	5.8%
22	Matale	842	3.2%
23	Nuwara Eliya	905	3.4%
31	Galle	1516	5.7%
32	Matara	1236	4.6%
33	Hambantota	1160	4.4%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	538	2.0%
52	Ampara	988	3.7%
53	Trincomalee	444	1.7%
61	Kurunegala	2266	8.5%
62	Puttalama	1127	4.2%
71	Anuradhapura	1541	5.8%
72	Polonnaruwa	548	2.1%
81	Badulla	1351	5.1%
82	Monaragala	813	3.1%
91	Ratnapura	1751	6.6%
92	Kegalle	1272	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60.955 /-] [StdDev=46.081 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		2722		10.2%
2		2716		10.2%

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		2662	10.0%
4		2672	10.0%
5		2731	10.3%
6		2713	10.2%
7		2687	10.1%
8		2594	9.7%
9		2549	9.6%
10		2572	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		26460	99.4%
2		135	0.5%
3		20	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSERNO: HHSERNO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-190] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.464 /-] [StdDev=37.739 /-]		

#10 Q31SERNO: Q31SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		12408	46.6%
2		6914	26.0%
3		4245	15.9%
4		1803	6.8%
5		671	2.5%
6		321	1.2%
7		144	0.5%

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#10 Q31SERNO: Q31SERNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		62	0.2%
9		23	0.1%
10		13	0.0%
11		8	0.0%
12		3	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q31A: Q31A

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14799	55.6%
2	No	11819	44.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q31B1: Q31B1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8916 /-] [Invalid=17702 /-] [Mean=15460.404 /-] [StdDev=11186.632 /-]		

#13 Q31B2: Q31B2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-90000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1386 /-] [Invalid=25232 /-] [Mean=4374.576 /-] [StdDev=5131.672 /-]		

#14 Q31B3: Q31B3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-35000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=602 /-] [Invalid=26016 /-] [Mean=2107.586 /-] [StdDev=3341.526 /-]		

#15 Q31C1: Q31C1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5438] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5805 /-] [Invalid=20813 /-] [Mean=530.318 /-] [StdDev=243.364 /-]		

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#16 Q31C2: Q31C2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5800 /-] [Invalid=20818 /-] [Mean=17.325 /-] [StdDev=5.836 /-]

#17 Q31C3: Q31C3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-87000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5890 /-] [Invalid=20728 /-] [Mean=8999.488 /-] [StdDev=5145.487 /-]

#18 Q31C4: Q31C4

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-23000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1101 /-] [Invalid=25517 /-] [Mean=898.403 /-] [StdDev=1341.337 /-]

#19 Q32: Q32

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26618 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	425	1.6%
2	No	26193	98.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#20 Q32B1: Q32B1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-35000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=77 /-] [Invalid=26541 /-] [Mean=5500.844 /-] [StdDev=5797.641 /-]

#21 Q32B2: Q32B2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=26615 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	33.3%
500		1	33.3%
2000		1	33.3%
Sysmiss		26615	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#22 Q32B3: Q32B3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=26612 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	16.7%
100		1	16.7%
450		1	16.7%
1000		1	16.7%
3000		2	33.3%
Sysmiss		26612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#23 Q32C1: Q32C1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-1600] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=349 /-] [Invalid=26269 /-] [Mean=567.215 /-] [StdDev=204.754 /-]		

#24 Q32C2: Q32C2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-60] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=339 /-] [Invalid=26279 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1	0.3%
2		7	2.1%
3		13	3.8%
4		20	5.9%
5		34	10.0%
6		28	8.3%
7		18	5.3%
8		48	14.2%
9		9	2.7%
10		69	20.4%
11		4	1.2%
12		29	8.6%
13		2	0.6%
14		7	2.1%
15		28	8.3%
16		2	0.6%
17		1	0.3%
20		14	4.1%
21		1	0.3%

File 2010-Question 31 to 32 (cont.)

#24 Q32C2: Q32C2 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
22		1	0.3%
25		1	0.3%
30		1	0.3%
60		1	0.3%
Sysmiss		26279	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#25 Q32C3: Q32C3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 500-30000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=338 /-] [Invalid=26280 /-] [Mean=5175.799 /-] [StdDev=3152.442 /-]

#26 Q32C4: Q32C4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50 /-] [Invalid=26568 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		9	18.0%
150		3	6.0%
200		2	4.0%
240		1	2.0%
300		3	6.0%
350		1	2.0%
375		1	2.0%
400		6	12.0%
450		2	4.0%
500		5	10.0%
600		3	6.0%
700		1	2.0%
800		3	6.0%
900		1	2.0%
1000		3	6.0%
1050		1	2.0%
1100		1	2.0%
1275		1	2.0%
1800		2	4.0%
2000		1	2.0%
Sysmiss		26568	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 33 to 36

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		31538	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		31538	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2686	8.5%
2	February	2871	9.1%
3	March	2581	8.2%
4	April	2604	8.3%
5	May	2699	8.6%
6	June	2718	8.6%
7	July	2802	8.9%
8	August	2625	8.3%
9	September	2538	8.0%
10	October	2267	7.2%
11	November	2607	8.3%
12	December	2540	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	4604	14.6%

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rural	25392	80.5%
3	Estate	1542	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	3564	11.3%
12	Gampaha	3151	10.0%
13	Kalutara	1897	6.0%
21	Kandy	2309	7.3%
22	Matale	921	2.9%
23	Nuwara Eliya	806	2.6%
31	Galle	2146	6.8%
32	Matara	1644	5.2%
33	Hambantota	1334	4.2%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	951	3.0%
52	Ampara	1534	4.9%
53	Trincomalee	637	2.0%
61	Kurunegala	2457	7.8%
62	Puttalama	1267	4.0%
71	Anuradhapura	1145	3.6%
72	Polonnaruwa	700	2.2%
81	Badulla	1218	3.9%
82	Monaragala	661	2.1%
91	Ratnapura	1749	5.5%
92	Kegalle	1447	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
-------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#6 PSU: PSU (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.62 /-] [StdDev=46.465 /-]
--------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3205	10.2%
2		3154	10.0%
3		3164	10.0%
4		3209	10.2%
5		3173	10.1%
6		3244	10.3%
7		3162	10.0%
8		3118	9.9%
9		3099	9.8%
10		3010	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
-------------	------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		31389	99.5%
2		129	0.4%
3		19	0.1%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSENO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-187] [Missing=*]
-------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51.601 /-] [StdDev=38.165 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

#10 Q33SENO: Q33SENO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
--------------------	---------------------------------

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#10 Q33SERNO: Q33SERNO (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5337	16.9%
2		9427	29.9%
3		7367	23.4%
4		5265	16.7%
5		2533	8.0%
6		1006	3.2%
7		364	1.2%
8		146	0.5%
9		52	0.2%
10		11	0.0%
11		11	0.0%
12		8	0.0%
13		5	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q33: Q33

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31538 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2299	7.3%
2	No	29208	92.6%
3	Already employed	31	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q34: Q34

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2299 /-] [Invalid=29239 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1355	58.9%
2	No	944	41.1%
Sysmiss		29239	

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#12 Q34: Q34 (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q351: Q351

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1341 /-] [Invalid=30197 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Registered for a government job	220	16.4%
2	Registered in private sector institutions/Internet	191	14.2%
3	Replying for advertisement published	270	20.1%
4	Inquiring from known persons	510	38.0%
5	Taking action to find resources to start a self employment	92	6.9%
9	Other	58	4.3%
Sysmiss		30197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q352: Q352

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=460 /-] [Invalid=31078 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Registered for a government job	5	1.1%
2	Registered in private sector institutions/Internet	52	11.3%
3	Replying for advertisement published	169	36.7%
4	Inquiring from known persons	185	40.2%
5	Taking action to find resources to start a self employment	33	7.2%
9	Other	16	3.5%
Sysmiss		31078	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 Q353: Q353

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=179 /-] [Invalid=31359 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Registered for a government job	0	0.0%
2	Registered in private sector institutions/Internet	0	0.0%
3	Replying for advertisement published	27	15.1%
4	Inquiring from known persons	130	72.6%
5	Taking action to find resources to start a self employment	17	9.5%

File 2010-Question 33 to 36 (cont.)

#15 Q353: Q353 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Other	5	2.8%
Sysmiss		31359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q36: Q36

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=943 /-] [Invalid=30595 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Awaiting results of the examination /interviews held for a j	42	4.5%
2	Does not believe that (s)he gets a suitable job	159	16.9%
3	Unable to find any work	156	16.5%
4	Does not posses skills or training required for a job	104	11.0%
5	Personal difficulties faced while finding a job	97	10.3%
6	Household work	164	17.4%
7	Educational activities	84	8.9%
9	Other	137	14.5%
Sysmiss		30595	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 37to 42

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		30648	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		30648	100.0%

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#2 YEAR: YEAR (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	2602	8.5%
2	February	2782	9.1%
3	March	2512	8.2%
4	April	2529	8.3%
5	May	2631	8.6%
6	June	2638	8.6%
7	July	2714	8.9%
8	August	2557	8.3%
9	September	2454	8.0%
10	October	2210	7.2%
11	November	2537	8.3%
12	December	2482	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	4522	14.8%
2	Rural	24631	80.4%
3	Estate	1495	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	3510	11.5%
12	Gampaha	3069	10.0%
13	Kalutara	1886	6.2%
21	Kandy	2261	7.4%

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Matale	883	2.9%
23	Nuwara Eliya	776	2.5%
31	Galle	2094	6.8%
32	Matara	1601	5.2%
33	Hambantota	1294	4.2%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	916	3.0%
52	Ampara	1462	4.8%
53	Trincomalee	600	2.0%
61	Kurunegala	2385	7.8%
62	Puttalama	1245	4.1%
71	Anuradhapura	1110	3.6%
72	Polonnaruwa	657	2.1%
81	Badulla	1185	3.9%
82	Monaragala	628	2.0%
91	Ratnapura	1656	5.4%
92	Kegalle	1430	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=61.847 /-] [StdDev=46.548 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		3113		10.2%
2		3059		10.0%
3		3066		10.0%
4		3126		10.2%
5		3086		10.1%
6		3165		10.3%

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		3078	10.0%
8		3024	9.9%
9		3001	9.8%
10		2930	9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		30506	99.5%
2		122	0.4%
3		19	0.1%
5		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSERNO: HHSERNO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-187] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51.812 /-] [StdDev=38.306 /-]

#10 Q37SERNO: Q37SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30648 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5266	17.2%
2		9202	30.0%
3		7044	23.0%
4		5082	16.6%
5		2474	8.1%
6		984	3.2%
7		360	1.2%
8		145	0.5%
9		51	0.2%
10		10	0.0%
11		11	0.0%
12		8	0.0%

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#10 Q37SERNO: Q37SERNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		5	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q37: Q37

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1397 /-] [Invalid=29251 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1367	97.9%
2	No	30	2.1%
Sysmiss		29251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q38: Q38

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29249 /-] [Invalid=1399 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Educational or vocational training activities	10855	37.1%
2	Household activities	11513	39.4%
3	Retired/Old age	4805	16.4%
4	Physically illness /Disabled	1518	5.2%
9	Other	558	1.9%
Sysmiss		1399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q39: Q39

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=29250 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self employment	134	9.6%
2	Paid employment	957	68.5%

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#13 Q39: Q39 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Any employment	307	22.0%
Sysmiss		29250	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q40: Q40

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=957 /-] [Invalid=29691 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Public Sector	363	37.9%
2	Semi-government Sector	21	2.2%
3	Private Sector	148	15.5%
4	Any Sector	425	44.4%
Sysmiss		29691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#15 Q41A: Q41A

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=131 /-] [Invalid=30517 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	116	88.5%
2	No	15	11.5%
Sysmiss		30517	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q41B1: Q41B1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=116 /-] [Invalid=30532 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	getting a loan facility	93	80.2%
2	An institution/aperson to get the neccessary knowledge	6	5.2%
3	Facilities to sell the production	2	1.7%
4	Capital resoures such as land/machinery	8	6.9%
5	Not decided yet	4	3.4%
9	Other	3	2.6%
Sysmiss		30532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 37to 42 (cont.)

#17 Q41B2: Q41B2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51 /-] [Invalid=30597 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	getting a loan facility	0	0.0%
2	An institution/aperson to get the neccessary knowledge	19	37.3%
3	Facilities to sell the production	16	31.4%
4	Capital resoures such as land/machinery	14	27.5%
5	Not decided yet	1	2.0%
9	Other	1	2.0%
Sysmiss		30597	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#18 Q41B3: Q41B3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=30625 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	getting a loan facility	0	0.0%
2	An institution/aperson to get the neccessary knowledge	0	0.0%
3	Facilities to sell the production	10	43.5%
4	Capital resoures such as land/machinery	13	56.5%
5	Not decided yet	0	0.0%
9	Other	0	0.0%
Sysmiss		30625	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19 Q42: Q42

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9341] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1089 /-] [Invalid=29559 /-] [Mean=4869.816 /-] [StdDev=2423.972 /-]		

File 2010-Question 43 to 46

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#1 REC\$TYPE

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		1398	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		1398	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	117	8.4%
2	February	131	9.4%
3	March	114	8.2%
4	April	142	10.2%
5	May	119	8.5%
6	June	123	8.8%
7	July	113	8.1%
8	August	109	7.8%
9	September	127	9.1%
10	October	94	6.7%
11	November	85	6.1%
12	December	124	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	156	11.2%
2	Rural	1179	84.3%
3	Estate	63	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	94	6.7%
12	Gampaha	96	6.9%
13	Kalutara	63	4.5%
21	Kandy	155	11.1%
22	Matale	43	3.1%
23	Nuwara Eliya	26	1.9%
31	Galle	102	7.3%
32	Matara	119	8.5%
33	Hambantota	111	7.9%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	37	2.6%
52	Ampara	46	3.3%
53	Trincomalee	27	1.9%
61	Kurunegala	136	9.7%
62	Puttalama	36	2.6%
71	Anuradhapura	51	3.6%
72	Polonnaruwa	30	2.1%
81	Badulla	65	4.6%
82	Monaragala	22	1.6%
91	Ratnapura	75	5.4%
92	Kegalle	64	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-209] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=57.326 /-] [StdDev=42.799 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		172	12.3%
2		150	10.7%
3		122	8.7%
4		155	11.1%
5		129	9.2%
6		143	10.2%
7		137	9.8%
8		126	9.0%
9		138	9.9%
10		126	9.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1393	99.6%
2		4	0.3%
3		1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSERNO: HHSERNO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-171] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=48.076 /-] [StdDev=33.361 /-]		

#10 Q43SERNO: Q43SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		122	8.7%
2		253	18.1%
3		594	42.5%
4		288	20.6%
5		94	6.7%
6		26	1.9%
7		15	1.1%

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#10 Q43SERNO: Q43SERNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		3	0.2%
9		1	0.1%
10		2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q43: Q43

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14869.141 /-] [StdDev=8722.771 /-]

#12 Q44: Q44

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	less than 6 months	400	28.6%
2	Between 6-12 months	300	21.5%
3	One year or more	698	49.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q45: Q45

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1398 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	470	33.6%
2	No	928	66.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#14 Q46B1: Q46B1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 121-983] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=470 /-] [Invalid=928 /-] [Mean=608.26 /-] [StdDev=256.044 /-]

#15 Q46C1: Q46C1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=471 /-] [Invalid=927 /-]

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#15 Q46C1: Q46C1 (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	General Certificate	298	63.3%
2	Diploma Certificate	151	32.1%
3	Higher Diploma Certificate	22	4.7%
Sysmiss		927	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#16 Q46D1: Q46D1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-47] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=470 /-] [Invalid=928 /-] [Mean=30.174 /-] [StdDev=19.114 /-]

#17 Q46E1: Q46E1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=470 /-] [Invalid=928 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	0.2%
1		11	2.3%
2		6	1.3%
3		29	6.2%
4		9	1.9%
5		2	0.4%
6		195	41.5%
7		4	0.9%
8		9	1.9%
9		6	1.3%
10		3	0.6%
11		1	0.2%
12		111	23.6%
15		3	0.6%
18		4	0.9%
24		25	5.3%
36		24	5.1%
42		3	0.6%
46		1	0.2%
48		6	1.3%
60		1	0.2%
99		16	3.4%
Sysmiss		928	

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#17 Q46E1: Q46E1 (cont.)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#18 Q46B2: Q46B2

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 122-974] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=92 /-] [Invalid=1306 /-] [Mean=681.685 /-] [StdDev=264.024 /-]

#19 Q46C2: Q46C2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=93 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	General Certificate	64	68.8%
2	Diploma Certificate	28	30.1%
3	Higher Diploma Certificate	1	1.1%
Sysmiss		1305	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#20 Q46D2: Q46D2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-47] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=93 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	5.4%
2		1	1.1%
3		5	5.4%
4		1	1.1%
5		10	10.8%
6		1	1.1%
12		1	1.1%
22		1	1.1%
23		1	1.1%
25		2	2.2%
27		1	1.1%
28		1	1.1%
29		1	1.1%
40		1	1.1%
43		14	15.1%
44		8	8.6%
45		18	19.4%

File 2010-Question 43 to 46 (cont.)

#20 Q46D2: Q46D2 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
46		20	21.5%
47		1	1.1%
Sysmiss		1305	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#21 Q46E2: Q46E2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-48] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92 /-] [Invalid=1306 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		4	4.3%
2		2	2.2%
3		6	6.5%
4		4	4.3%
6		39	42.4%
8		1	1.1%
12		25	27.2%
18		2	2.2%
24		8	8.7%
48		1	1.1%
Sysmiss		1306	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File 2010-Question 47 to 50

#1 REC\$TYPE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		1385	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#2 YEAR: YEAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2010-2010] [Missing=*]		
-------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)

#2 YEAR: YEAR (cont.)

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2010		1385	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#3 MONTH: MONTH

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	117	8.4%
2	February	130	9.4%
3	March	114	8.2%
4	April	141	10.2%
5	May	117	8.4%
6	June	122	8.8%
7	July	113	8.2%
8	August	108	7.8%
9	September	127	9.2%
10	October	92	6.6%
11	November	83	6.0%
12	December	121	8.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#4 SECTOR: SECTOR

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Urban	154	11.1%
2	Rural	1168	84.3%
3	Estate	63	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-92] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)

#5 DISTRICT: DISTRICT (cont.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Colombo	94	6.8%
12	Gampaha	96	6.9%
13	Kalutara	63	4.5%
21	Kandy	152	11.0%
22	Matale	43	3.1%
23	Nuwara Eliya	26	1.9%
31	Galle	101	7.3%
32	Matara	118	8.5%
33	Hambantota	111	8.0%
41	Jaffna	0	0.0%
42	Mannar	0	0.0%
43	Vavuniya	0	0.0%
44	Mulativu	0	0.0%
45	Kilinochchi	0	0.0%
51	Batticaloa	37	2.7%
52	Ampara	46	3.3%
53	Trincomalee	27	1.9%
61	Kurunegala	132	9.5%
62	Puttalama	36	2.6%
71	Anuradhapura	51	3.7%
72	Polonnaruwa	30	2.2%
81	Badulla	62	4.5%
82	Monaragala	22	1.6%
91	Ratnapura	74	5.3%
92	Kegalle	64	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#6 PSU: PSU

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-209] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=57.061 /-] [StdDev=42.667 /-]

#7 HUNO: HUNO

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		169	12.2%
2		147	10.6%

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)

#7 HUNO: HUNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		121	8.7%
4		155	11.2%
5		127	9.2%
6		143	10.3%
7		136	9.8%
8		125	9.0%
9		137	9.9%
10		125	9.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#8 HHNO: HHNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1380	99.6%
2		4	0.3%
3		1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#9 HHSERNO: HHSENO

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-171] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=48.027 /-] [StdDev=33.336 /-]		

#10 Q47SERNO: Q47SERNO

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		132	9.5%
2		247	17.8%
3		585	42.2%
4		284	20.5%
5		92	6.6%
6		25	1.8%
7		14	1.0%
8		3	0.2%
9		1	0.1%

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)

#10 Q47SERNO: Q47SERNO (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11 Q47: Q47

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=931 /-] [Invalid=454 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Thinking that the higher education she/he received is adequa	211	22.7%
2	Didn't relative the imporatance of such training for a job	284	30.5%
3	Financial difficulties to get an appropriate formal training	153	16.4%
4	Non-availability of training projects or training institutio	74	7.9%
5	Not have confidence on the training institution available in	6	0.6%
6	Getting a training at present	54	5.8%
9	Other	149	16.0%
Sysmiss		454	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#12 Q48: Q48

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	501	36.2%
2	No	884	63.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#13 Q49: Q49



Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9341] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=501 /-] [Invalid=884 /-] [Mean=5932.677 /-] [StdDev=2574.559 /-]		

#14 Q50: Q50

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=501 /-] [Invalid=884 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid employee	444	88.6%
2	Employer	3	0.6%

File 2010-Question 47 to 50 (cont.)

#14 Q50: Q50 (cont.)

Value (cont.)	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Own account worker	35	 7.0%
4	Contributing to family works	19	 3.8%
Sysmiss		884	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Documentation

Other resources	86
Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey - 2010 (Survey Schedule)	86
Data Dictionary	86
International Standard Classification for Industry	86
International Standard Classification of Occupation Codes	86
Explanatory Notes	86
LFS Annual Report 2010	86
Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, First Quarter 2010	86
Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Second Quarter 2010	86
Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Third Quarter 2010	86
Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Fourth Quarter 2010	87
Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 1st Quarter 2010	87
Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 2nd Quarter 2010	87
Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 3rd Quarter 2010	87
Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 4th Quarter 2010	87
2010 Labour Force Survey Annual Bulletin	87
Study Documentation of LFS2010	87

Other resources

Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey - 2010 (Survey Schedule), Sri Lanka [lka], English [eng],
 "Documents\Questionnaire\Labour Force Schedule.pdf"

Data Dictionary, "Documents\Technical Documents\2010DicList.pdf"

International Standard Classification for Industry, "Documents\Technical Documents\International Standard
 Classification for Industry.pdf"

International Standard Classification of Occupation Codes, "Documents\Technical Documents\International
 Standard Classification of Occupation Codes.pdf"

Explanatory Notes, English, "Documents\Technical Documents\Explanatory Notes.pdf"

LFS_Annual Report_2010, "Documents\Reports\LFS_Annual Report_2010.pdf"

Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, First Quarter 2010, English,
 "Documents\Reports\2010Q1%20report.pdf"

Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Second Quarter 2010, English,
 "Documents\Reports\report2010q2.pdf"

Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Third Quarter 2010, English,
 "Documents\Reports\2010q3report.pdf"

Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labour Force Survey, Fourth Quarter 2010, English,
"Documents\Reports\2010q4report.pdf"

Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 1st Quarter 2010, English,
"Documents\Reports\Bullet2010q1-final.pdf"

Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 2nd Quarter 2010, English,
"Documents\Reports\Bullet2010q2-final.pdf"

Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 3rd Quarter 2010, English,
"Documents\Reports\Bullet2010q3-final.pdf"

Bulletin of Labour Force Statistics of Sri Lanka, 4th Quarter 2010, English,
"Documents\Reports\Bullet2010q4-final.pdf"

2010 Labour Force Survey Annual Bulletin, "Documents\Tables\LFSAnnual%20Bulletin2010.pdf"

Study Documentation of LFS2010, "Documents\Study Documentation of LFS2010.pdf"